

FILED

APR 25 2024

KITSAP COUNTY DISTRICT COURT

Kitsap County District Court  
State of Washington

Jeffrey D. Wilson, Ph.D

Petitioner (Person starting this case)

Case No. 24UH00354

Jeffrey T. Daily

Respondent (Person responding to this case)

Petition for Protection Order

Clerk's Action: 1

Petition for Protection Order

What kind protection order do you want? There are different orders based on the type of harm and how the parties know each other. See definitions in Attachment A.

1. Choose the type of protection order that best fits your situation. Check only one.

- Anti-Harassment – Protection from someone who has committed unlawful harassment. (PTORAH)  
Conduct also includes (check all that apply):  stalking  hate crime  single act/threat of violence including malicious and intentional threat or presence of firearm/weapon causing substantial emotional distress  family or household member engaged in domestic violence  nonconsensual sexual conduct or penetration or a sex offense
- Stalking – Protection from someone who has committed stalking. (PTORSTK)
- Domestic Violence – Protection from an intimate partner or family or household member who has committed domestic violence, nonconsensual sexual conduct or penetration, unlawful harassment, or stalking. (PTORPRT)
- Sexual Assault – Protection from someone who has committed sexual assault. (PTORSXP)

2. If more than one of the protection order types listed above fits your situation, list any additional order types here: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Who should the order restrain? ("Restrained Person")

Name: Jeff DAILY

Restrained Person's age:  Under 13  13 to 17  18 or over  unknown

**Who should be protected?** Check all that apply. Depending on the type of order, you can protect yourself, and/or children, and/or other adult(s) who are family or household members.

**4. Who should the order protect? ("Protected Person")** (Check all that apply.)

**Me:** My name is Jeff Wilson

**Minor Children.**

I am the minor's  parent  legal guardian  custodian.

I am age 18 or older and the minor is a member of my family or household.  
(For domestic violence petitions only.)

Child's Name	Age	Sex	Race	Lives With	How related to you	How related to Restrained Person
<del>Kyle Wilson</del>	<del>16</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>W</del>	<del>Mom</del> <del>MAD</del>	<del>Daughter</del>	<del>Sister</del> <del>Mom</del>

**Someone else.** (List your name as Petitioner at the beginning of this form. Describe who you are filing for here.) I am filing to also protect the following adult family or household members:

(name(s)) ~~Heather B. Wilson (wife/estranged)~~  
~~Mr. Wilson (son, 57 years old)~~

**5. Service address.** What is your address for receiving legal documents? You have the right to keep your residential address private. You may use a different mailing address for receiving legal documents.

Mail: 3300 Beach Drive E. Port Orchard, WA 98366

Email (if you agree to receive legal documents by email): jeff@psygenix.com

**6. Interpreter**

Do you need an interpreter?  No  Yes, Language: \_\_\_\_\_

**Important!** You may need to request an interpreter separately. You will get instructions with an order setting your hearing.

**How do the parties know each other?**

7. Check all the ways the protected person is connected or related to the restrained person:

**Intimate Partners** – Protected person and restrained person are intimate partners because they are:

- current or former spouses or domestic partners
- parents of a child-in-common (unless child was conceived through sexual assault)
- current or former dating relationship (age 13 or older) who
  - never lived together
  - live or have lived together

**Family or household members** - Protected person and restrained person are family or household members because they are:

- parent and child
- grandparent and grandchild
- current or former cohabitants as roommates
- person who is or has been a legal guardian
- related by blood or marriage (*specify how*) \_\_\_\_\_
- stepparent and stepchild
- parent's intimate partner and child

**Other** (examples: coworker, neighbor, acquaintance, stranger)

*Disgraced Former Board Director, Anti-Tax, Nat'l SK Crusader*

**Connection to Washington State.** This helps decide if the court has authority (jurisdiction).

8. **Why are you filing in this county and state?** Check *all that apply*.

- The protected person lives in this county now, or used to live in this county but left because of abuse, or this is the nearest court to where I live or used to live.
- An incident that made me want this protection order happened in this county or state.

9. **Restrained Person's residence.** Where does the restrained person live?

- In Washington State in (*city or county*): Buckley, WA
- Outside of Washington State
- Unknown

**Are there other court cases involving the parties or any children?**

10. **Other court cases.** Have there been any other court cases between any of the people involved in this case or about any children? Include court cases happening now and in the past and requests for protection that were denied or have expired. (*Examples: criminal no contact order, civil protection order, family law restraining order, protection order from another state, tribal order, military orders, parenting plans, divorce, landlord-tenant, employment, property, assault, police investigations. File copies in this court case of everything you want the court to review.*)

- No
- Yes. If yes, fill out below.

Type of Case (see examples)	Court Location (City or County and State)	Court Type (Superior/ District/Municipal/ Tribal/Military)	Case Number (if known)	Status (active/ dismissed/pending/ expired, unknown)

Other details: \_\_\_\_\_

**Do you need immediate protection?** If needed, you can ask for a Temporary Protection Order that starts now, before the restrained person gets notice. This protection can last up to 14 days or until the court hearing (whichever comes first).

11. **Immediate Protection:** Do you need a Temporary Protection Order to start immediately, without prior notice to the restrained person?  **Yes**  **No**

12. **Immediate Weapons Surrender:** Do you want a temporary order that requires the restrained person give up all firearms, other dangerous weapons, and concealed pistol licenses right away, and prohibits the restrained person from getting more?  
 **Yes**  **No**

**If Yes to 11 or 12, explain why:** What serious immediate harm or irreparable injury could occur if an order is not issued immediately without prior notice to the restrained person?  
*(Briefly explain how you or anyone else might be harmed if you do not get protection now.)*

Jeff Dails has made numerous threats to me directly in SK Board Meetings, via telephone, and face to face meetings. He ambushed my fellow board member Beng while carrying a gun and threatened physical violence on him with a warning that "I was next" as I was to meet with him same week. Countless angry outbursts and tirades at SK and public meetings,

What protections do you need? Check everything you want the court to order.

13. I ask for a protection order with these restraints against the Restrained Person:

General Restraints

A.  No Harm: Do not cause any physical harm, bodily injury, assault, nonconsensual sexual conduct or nonconsensual sexual penetration, and do not harass, threaten, or stalk  
 protected person  the minors named in section 4 above  
 these minors only: \_\_\_\_\_

B.  No Contact: Do not make any attempts or have any contact, including nonphysical contact, directly, indirectly, or through third parties, regardless of whether those third parties know of the order, except for service of court documents with  
 protected person  the minors named in section 4 above  
 these minors only: \_\_\_\_\_  
 these members of the protected person's household: ALL (HGW, KGW, KEW)  
 Exception (if any): Only this type of contact is allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

NONE Barred from Home, SK Schools, and all SK Meetings,

C.  Stalking Behavior: Do not harass, follow, monitor, keep under physical or electronic surveillance, cyber harass (as defined in RCW 9A.90.120), or use phone, video, audio or other electronic means to record, photograph, or track locations or communication, including digital, wire, or electronic communication of  
 the protected person  the minors named in section 4 above  
 these minors only: \_\_\_\_\_  
 these members of the protected person's household: \_\_\_\_\_

ALL ABOVE

D.  Exclude and Stay Away: Do not enter, return to, knowingly come within, or knowingly remain within 1,000 feet or other distance (specify) \_\_\_\_\_ of  
 the protected person  protected person's vehicle  
 protected person's school  protected person's workplace  
 protected person's residence  protected person's adult day program  
 the shared residence  
 the residence, daycare, or school of  the minors named in section 4 above  
 these minors only: \_\_\_\_\_  
 other: ALL SFSD Properties AND MEETINGS

Address: The protected person chooses to (check one)  
 keep their address confidential  list their address here: \_\_\_\_\_

E.  Vacate shared residence: The protected person has exclusive right to the residence that the protected person and restrained person share. The restrained person must immediately vacate the residence. The restrained person may take the restrained person's clothing, personal items needed during the duration of the order, and these items (specify): \_\_\_\_\_  
from the residence while a law enforcement officer is present.

F.  **Intimate Images:** Do not possess or distribute intimate images of a protected person, as defined in RCW 9A.86.010. The restrained person must take down and delete all intimate images and recordings of a protected person in the restrained person's possession or control and cease any and all disclosure of those intimate images.

G.  **Electronic Monitoring:** The restrained person must submit to electronic monitoring. Example: location tracking via ankle bracelet. *(Restrained person must be age 18 or older.)*

H.  **Evaluation:** The restrained person shall get an evaluation for:  
 mental health                       chemical dependency (drugs)

I.  **Treatment:** The restrained person shall participate in state-certified treatment for:  
 sex offender                       domestic violence perpetrator

J.  **Personal Belongings:** The protected person shall have possession of essential personal belongings, including the following:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

K.  **Assets:** Do not transfer jointly owned assets.

**Finances:** Provide the following financial relief: \_\_\_\_\_

L.  **Vehicle:** The protected person shall have use of the following vehicle:

Year, Make & Model \_\_\_\_\_ License No. \_\_\_\_\_

M.  **Restrict Abusive Litigation:** Do not engage in abusive litigation as set forth in chapter 26.51 RCW or in frivolous filings against the protected person, making harassing or libelous communications about the protected person to third parties, or making false reports to investigative agencies.

N.  **Pay Fees and Costs:** The restrained person must pay fees and costs of this action. This may include administrative court costs, service fees, and the protected person's costs including lawyer fees.

---

### Firearms and Other Dangerous Weapons

O.  **Surrender Weapons:** The restrained person must immediately surrender to law enforcement and not access, possess, have in their custody or control, purchase, receive, or attempt to purchase or receive firearms, other dangerous weapons, or concealed pistol licenses.

**Important!** *The court may be required to order the restrained person to surrender firearms, other dangerous weapons, or concealed pistol licenses even if you do not request it.*

Does the restrained person have or own firearms?

Yes  No  I don't know

Complete **Attachment B: Firearms Identification** if Yes.

Would the restrained person's use of firearms or other dangerous weapons be a serious and immediate threat to anyone's health or safety?

Yes  No  I don't know

*VERY ANGRY AND COMBATIVE*

Even if the restrained person does not have firearms now, has the restrained person ever used firearms, other weapons, or objects to threaten or harm you?

Yes  No

If Yes, describe what happened.

THREATENED ME MANY TIMES IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE. THREATENED BERG (w/gun) AND TOLD HIM TO PASS WARNING TO ME.

Is the restrained person already not allowed to have firearms?

Yes  No  I don't know

If Yes, why? \_\_\_\_\_

**School Enrollment (Protected Person And Respondent Attend Same School)**

P.  **School Enrollment:** Do not enroll or continue attending as a student in the elementary, middle, or high school that a protected person attends: *(name of school)*

*(Only if both the restrained person and a protected person are students at the same school. Can apply to students 18 or older. Includes public and private schools.)*

Describe any continuing physical danger, emotional distress, or educational disruption to a protected person that would happen if the restrained person attends the same school.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Pets**

Q.  **Custody:** The protected person shall have exclusive custody and control of the following pet/s owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the protected person, restrained person, or a minor child who lives with either the protected or restrained person. *(Specify name of pet and type of animal.):*

\_\_\_\_\_

R.  **Interference:** Do not interfere with the protected person's efforts to get the pet/s named above.

S.  **Stay Away:** Do not knowingly come within, or knowingly remain within *(distance)* \_\_\_\_\_ of the following locations where the pet/s are regularly found:

Protected person's residence *(home address may be kept confidential.)*

Other *(specify):* MAY HAVE KILLED MY CAT

**Other**

T. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Do you need help from law enforcement?** They may help you get the things you asked for.

**14. Law Enforcement Help:** Do you want the court to order the appropriate law enforcement agency to help you with any of the things listed below?

Check all that apply.

- Possession of my residence.  
 Possession of the vehicle I asked for in section L above.  
 Possession of my essential personal belongings that are located at:  
 the shared residence  
 the restrained person's residence  
 other location: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**How long do you need this order to last?**

**15. Length of Order**

(The order will last for **at least one year** unless you ask for something different.)

I need this order to last for:  1 year  more than 1 year  less than 1 year (specify how long): \_\_\_\_\_

If you checked more or less than one year, briefly explain why.

Threatened to "unleash an unstoppable ~~to~~ FORCE OF POLITICAL, Personal, Legal, and financial pain on me if I didn't comply with his DEMANDS, Followed thru by making my life Hell.

**Why do you need a protection order? What happened?** This is your statement, where you tell your experience.

Be as specific and descriptive as possible. Put the date, names, what happened, and where. Use names rather than pronouns (he/she/they) as much as possible. If you cannot remember the date, put the time of year it happened (around a holiday, winter, summer, how old your child was), or about how long ago.

For all of the questions below, include details:

- Who did what?
- When did this happen?
- How were any statements made? (in person, mail, text, phone, email, social media)
- How did this make you, the minor, or adult household members feel?

If you need more space to answer any of the questions below, use the form "Statement" (on the court website at [www.kitsap.gov/dc](http://www.kitsap.gov/dc) under Forms > Protection Orders) or attach additional pages.

**Privacy Warning!** The restrained person will see this Petition and any other evidence you file with the court. This information is also available to the public for anyone to see. You should file health care records, financial documents, and confidential reports under seal. Use form Sealed Cover Sheet (Confidential) (on the court website at [www.kitsap.gov/dc](http://www.kitsap.gov/dc) under Forms > Protection Orders). If you want to seal explicit or intimate images, you must file a separate motion asking the court to seal these images (GR 15).



16. **Most Recent Incident.** What happened most recently that made you want a protection order? This could include violent acts, fear or threats of violence, coercive control, nonconsensual sexual conduct or penetration, sexual abuse, harassment, stalking, hate crimes.

- ① PUBLISHED MALICIOUS FALSEHOODS ABOUT ME, FAMILY, DOXED US ALL, INVITED VIOLENCE ON MY FAMILY.
- ② PERSONALLY THREATENED ME AT HIS LAST PUBLIC COMMENT SPEECH AND MANY, MANY, MANY BEFORE THAT.
- ③ PUBLISHED KNOWINGLY FALSE AND MALICIOUS CONTENT ABOUT ME DAMAGING MY POLITICAL CAREER AND FAMILY

17. **Past Incidents.** What happened in the past that makes you want a protection order? This could include violent acts, fear or threats of violence, coercive control, nonconsensual sexual conduct or penetration, sexual abuse, harassment, stalking, hate crimes.

- ④ ~~Did~~ Followed -THRU ON HIS VERBAL "PROMISE" TO DO EVERYTHING HE COULD TO TO BULLY, HARASS, INTIMIDATE, DOX, STALK, AND THREATEN ME SINCE 2021. BROKEN MULTIPLE LAWS IN PROCESS

⑤ STALKED ME AT A PUBLIC MARKET AND FOLLOWED ME AROUND SK WHILE DOING BOARD DUTIES

18. **Medical Treatment.** Describe any medical treatment you received for issues related to your request for protection.

I HAVE HAD TO SEEK TREATMENT FOR "Generalized Anxiety" FROM DAILY VIOLENT THREATS, LIES, AND MALICIOUS FALSEHOODS.

19. **Suicidal Behavior.** Describe any threats of self-harm or suicide attempts by the restrained person.

20. **Restrained Person's Substance Abuse**

Is substance abuse involved?  Yes  No  Unknown  
If yes, what type of substance abuse?  Alcohol  Drugs  Other

21. **Minors Needing Protection, if any** (If the information is not already included above.)

Has there been any violence or threats towards children? How have the children been affected by the restrained person's behavior? Were the children present during any of the incidents described above? Describe and give details.

22. **Supporting Evidence** (Include anything else you want the court to see that helps prove what you are saying is true. You are responsible for filing your supporting evidence,

including police reports, if any. Before you file any attachments, you can black out (redact) any sensitive information. Examples: your home address and account numbers (leave last four digits). If you have audio or video evidence, contact the court for how to submit.)

I am submitting the following evidence with this Petition (check all that apply):

- Pictures
- Text/email/social media messages
- Voice messages (written transcript)
- Written notes/letters/mail
- Police report
- Declaration or statement from witness (name/s): \_\_\_\_\_

Other (describe): \_\_\_\_\_

**Privacy Warning!** The restrained person will see this Petition and any other evidence you file with the court. This information is also available to the public for anyone to see, unless it is filed under a sealed cover sheet. Use form Sealed Cover Sheet (Confidential) (on the court website at [www.kitsap.gov/dc](http://www.kitsap.gov/dc) under Forms > Protection Orders). If you want to seal explicit or intimate images, you must file a separate motion asking the court to seal these images (GR 15).

Before you file any attachments, you can black out (redact) any sensitive information. Examples: your home address, account numbers (leave last four digits), minor's names (leave minor's initials). Do not list your address in this petition or any supporting evidence if you want it to remain confidential.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that all the information provided in this petition and any attachments is true and correct.

I have attached (number): 57 pages.


Signed at (City and State):

Port Orchard, WA

Date:

04/24/2024

Sign here



Print name

Jeffrey A. Wilson, PhD

---

## Attachment A: Definitions (*Always include with petition.*)

**"Domestic violence"** means:

- (a) Physical harm, bodily injury, assault, or the infliction of fear of physical harm, bodily injury, or assault; nonconsensual sexual conduct or nonconsensual sexual penetration; coercive control; unlawful harassment; or stalking of one intimate partner by another intimate partner; or
- (b) Physical harm, bodily injury, assault, or the infliction of fear of physical harm, bodily injury, or assault; nonconsensual sexual conduct or nonconsensual sexual penetration; coercive control; unlawful harassment; or stalking of one family or household member by another family or household member.

**"Sexual conduct"** means any of the following:

- (a) Any intentional or knowing touching or fondling of the genitals, anus, or breasts, directly or indirectly, including through clothing;
- (b) Any intentional or knowing display of the genitals, anus, or breasts for the purposes of arousal or sexual gratification of the respondent;
- (c) Any intentional or knowing touching or fondling of the genitals, anus, or breasts, directly or indirectly, including through clothing, that the petitioner is forced to perform by another person or the respondent;
- (d) Any forced display of the petitioner's genitals, anus, or breasts for the purposes of arousal or sexual gratification of the respondent or others;
- (e) Any intentional or knowing touching of the clothed or unclothed body of a child under the age of 16, if done for the purpose of sexual gratification or arousal of the respondent or others; or any coerced or forced touching or fondling by a child under the age of 16, directly or indirectly, including through clothing, of the genitals, anus, or breasts of the respondent or others.

**"Sexual penetration"** means any contact, however slight, between the sex organ or anus of one person by an object, the sex organ, mouth, or anus of another person, or any intrusion, however slight, of any part of the body of one person or of any animal or object into the sex organ or anus of another person including, but not limited to, cunnilingus, fellatio, or anal penetration.

Evidence of emission of semen is not required to prove sexual penetration.

**"Stalking"** means any of the following:

- (a) Any act of stalking as defined under RCW 9A.46.110;
- (b) Any act of cyber harassment as defined under RCW 9A.90.120; or
- (c) Any course of conduct involving repeated or continuing contacts, attempts to contact, monitoring, tracking, surveillance, keeping under observation, disrupting activities in a harassing manner, or following of another person that:
  - (i) Would cause a reasonable person to feel intimidated, frightened, under duress, significantly disrupted, or threatened and that actually causes such a feeling;
  - (ii) Serves no lawful purpose; and
  - (iii) The respondent knows, or reasonably should know, threatens, frightens, or intimidates the person, even if the respondent did not intend to intimidate, frighten, or threaten the person.

**"Unlawful harassment"** means:

- (a) A knowing and willful course of conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, harasses, or is detrimental to such person, and that serves no legitimate or lawful purpose. The course of conduct must be such as would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and must actually cause substantial emotional distress to the petitioner; or
- (b) A single act of violence or threat of violence directed at a specific person that seriously alarms, annoys, harasses, or is detrimental to such person, and that serves no legitimate or lawful purpose, which would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress, and must actually cause substantial emotional distress to the petitioner. A single threat of violence must include:
  - (i) A malicious and intentional threat as described in RCW 9A.36.080(1)(c); or
  - (ii) the presence of a firearm or other weapon

---

## Attachment B: Firearms Identification

**Only complete** this attachment if the restrained person owns or has access to firearms or other dangerous weapons. **If not**, skip or remove this attachment.

1. Does the restrained person own or have access to any firearms?  Yes  No  Unknown
  2. Does the restrained person purchase, own or have access to parts that could be assembled into a working firearm (example: ghost guns)?  Yes  No  Unknown
  3. Does the restrained person have a concealed pistol license (CPL)?  Yes  No  Unknown
  4. When was the last time you saw the firearm/s? \_\_\_\_\_
  5. Do you know where the restrained person keeps the firearm/s?  Yes  No  
If yes, check all that apply:  
 On their Person  In their Car  In their Home  Storage Unit  In a Safe
  6. To the best of your knowledge, are the guns typically loaded?  Yes  No  Unknown
  7. How important are the firearms to the restrained person?  
 1 (not very important)  2  3  4  5 (very important)  Unknown
  8. What does the restrained person generally use the firearms for, if known? (check all that apply)  
 Hunting  Collecting  Target Shooting  Protection  Other: Intimidation
  9. Does the respondent possess explosives?  Yes  No  Unknown
  10. Does the restrained person own or possess any other dangerous weapons you believe should be surrendered?  Yes  No  Unknown. If yes, list them here: \_\_\_\_\_
- 

The pictures below are examples of the most common guns. If you recognize any of the pictures below as similar to the one/s the restrained person has, please check it and write in how many they have of each.

**Handgun** (how many) \_\_\_\_\_



**Unassembled Firearm** (how many) \_\_\_\_\_



**Semi-automatic Rifle** (how many) \_\_\_\_\_



**Rifle/Shotgun** (how many) \_\_\_\_\_



**Other firearm/s** (describe):

## New SKSD directors ready to serve, begin terms

By Elisha Meyer Port Orchard Independent • December 12, 2023 1:30 am



SKSD courtesy photo Megan Higgins (left) and Jay Villars (right) sit next to one another minutes after being sworn into the South Kitsap School District Board of Directors.

South Kitsap School District officials say they are looking forward to what is ahead with a new team and new opportunities after three directors –two new and one returning– swore their oaths of office Dec. 6.

Megan Higgins of District 2 and Jay Villars of District 5 were called up individually and took their seats for the first time as elected officials of SKSD, winning their respective races against Jaime Cross and Rhonda Edwards in the November general election.

Higgins expressed her enthusiasm to serve the community in her opening statement as a director while also asking the public to hold her accountable in her position, even in her first days:

"It's a little overwhelming, to be honest, and I have a lot to learn and intend to ask a lot of questions," she said. "I hope the community will give me a little bit of grace as I learn and go through this process."

Villars added, "I'm honored to be with this group of people and privileged to have this office. I'm excited to be part of this organization and to listen to our community as we move forward."

District 1 Director Kate Espy took her oath over Zoom, returning to the position she had been appointed to in 2021 to fill a vacant seat.

"I'm super, super excited that we have five board members who can now get together and focus on our kids," she said. "That is the reason we're here, and now we can put our emphasis and time into that and decide what's best for the children of South Kitsap."

Superintendent Tim Winter extended words of gratitude to the newly elected officials as well as those no longer serving on the board as of that night. "Being a board member is not easy. It is sometimes thankless, but it's an important part of the work we do in the school district," he said.

John Berg was beaten in the primary election by Higgins and Cross, while Jeff Daily chose not to run. Even in that choice, it was made clear throughout his term and in that night's public comment section of the meeting that Daily would continue to be a voice against the district's higher-ups.

301



"I hadn't planned on coming here, but at the end of the meeting last week, since everyone wanted to make a big deal out of it, Mr. Wilson," he said, speaking directly to Director Jeffrey Wilson. "A couple of people called me a liar. If you're going to call me, call it to my face, but don't call it behind my back when I leave the building."

Daily had left the Nov. 14 meeting of the board calling for the resignation of all of the district's head officials, including Winter, even approaching to within inches of Berg and attempting to bait directors into escorting him out of the building. That meeting was sent into a recess.

[< Previous](#)

[Grow retirement savings with a tax beneficial IRA CD](#)

[Next >](#)

[SK alum opens TRD Portfolio for Port Orchard](#)



JDA



## NEWS

### Daily's tirade forces recess in his, Berg's final SKSD meeting

By Elisha Meyer Port Orchard Independent • November 20, 2023 1:30 am



SKSD courtesy photo Footage from the Nov. 14 South Kitsap School District meeting shows Director Jeff Daily (standing) approach Director John Berg in the midst of a tirade against the district and board members.

The Nov. 14 South Kitsap School Board meeting briefly spiraled out of control after outgoing director Jeff Daily expressed his final thoughts in a fiery “fifteen minutes of fame” statement concluded by his familiar call for all present directors and superintendent Tim Winter to resign.

Emotions were already on edge as it was the board's first meeting since the results of the district's latest attempt to pass a bond were announced. A simple majority has since been reached for the \$271 million measure, but a 60% supermajority is required for passage.

The meeting also signaled the final time two incumbent directors would occupy their seats. District 2 director John Berg failed to advance past the August primary and District 5 director Daily announced in the final weeks of filing that he would not run. Those seats are expected to be filled by Megan Higgins and Jay Villars, while District 1 director Kate Espy has retained her seat as indicated by the polls.

While the remaining directors gave their thanks to Berg for his work on the board, the same could not be said for Daily, a common critic of the district and board.

He continued that message in a statement at the end of the meeting's public comment period. He first thanked those in attendance for continuing to attend the meetings. “The board is responsible for educating the children of the district and to answer to all of you, not the agenda, not the policies they make up. It's you that makes the community run,” he said. \*

303

Daily's statement quickly dissolved into a tirade against the district, saying his departure will return the board to five nodding heads in agreement instead of any true conversation and debate. He also claimed the bond's failure came down to the district's lies to the public.

The statement continued well beyond his allotted three minutes, prompting calls from Berg and other directors to have Daily's microphone silenced and have the director removed from the room. Daily appeared at one point to approach Berg's table and attempt to bait Berg and Espy into physically escorting him out of the meeting.

Board president Jeffrey Wilson sent the meeting into a 10-minute recess, the audio on Zoom being cut. The rest of the meeting was conducted without Daily in the room, the microphone he had carried in his hands sitting in front of his vacant chair.

---

[< Previous](#)

[NK meets Lynden in QT thriller, advances to state senate](#)

[Next >](#)

[Month-long celebration of holiday magic opens at Seattle Center Nov. 24](#)



JOA



**PORT ORCHARD  
POLICE DEPARTMENT**

546 BAY STREET  
PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366

INCIDENT REPORT	
CASE NUMBER <b>PO230028</b>	SUPPLEMENT NUMBER
CASE TYPE <b>INFORMATION ONLY</b>	CAD EVENT NUMBER
REPORTING OFFICER <b>701 - BRANDON, ANDREW</b>	REPORT DATE <b>07/31/2023</b>

**INCIDENT**

LOCATION				OCURRED	DATE	TIME	DAY
<b>221 BRAVO TER SE PORT ORCHARD, WA 98367</b>				<b>ON OR FROM</b>	<b>07/24/2023</b>	<b>10:00</b>	<b>MON</b>
PREMISE NAME			JURISDICTION	<b>TO</b>	<b>07/31/2023</b>	<b>09:45</b>	<b>MON</b>
			<b>POPD</b>	<b>REPORTED</b>	<b>07/31/2023</b>	<b>09:45</b>	<b>MON</b>
AREA	DISTRICT	ASSIGNMENT	SHIFT				
		<b>ONLINE</b>	<b>ONLINE</b>				

RELATED REPORT NUMBERS

**SYNOPSIS**

----- Case Imported From CSP - CSP Number Assigned = CSP43 on 7/31/2023 11:46:45 AM by D060006

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

<input type="checkbox"/> BEHAVIORIAL HEALTH	<input type="checkbox"/> DUI (TIME/YEARS)
<input type="checkbox"/> SEX OFFENSE-INCLUDING FTR	<input type="checkbox"/> FOLLOW-UP COMPLETED
<input type="checkbox"/> SRO	<input type="checkbox"/> TASK FORCE DATA/INDICATORS
School	Use of Force <b>NO</b>
	Pursuit <b>NO</b>

**STATUS**

CASE STATUS	CASE STATUS DATE	DISPOSITION	DISPOSITION DATE	APPROVAL	APPROVAL DATE
<b>INACTIVE</b>	<b>08/28/2023</b>			<b>D060006/ABRANDO - BRANDON.</b>	<b>07/31/2023</b>

*JD5*

**OFFENSES**

ENTRY NO <b>1</b>	VIOLATION/STATUTE <b>9A.46.020.1</b>	OFFENSE DESCRIPTION <b>HARASSMENT-GROSS MISDEMEANOR</b>				
ATTEMPTED <b>N</b>	LEVEL <b>GM</b>	COUNTS	NCIC CODE <b>1316</b>	DISPOSITION	DISPOSITION DATE	
AGENCY CLASSIFICATION <b>INTIMIDATION (INCLUDES</b>		LOCATION OF OFFENSE				
COURT						
COMMENT						

**VICTIMS**

ENTRY NO <b>1</b>	INVOLVEMENT <b>VI</b>	NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE <b>BERG, JOHN RICHARD</b>									
HOME ADDRESS <b>SOUTHWORTH WA 98386</b>			MAILING ADDRESS								
EMPLOYER		EMPLOYER ADDRESS		OCCUPATION							
HOME PHONE		CELL PHONE		OTHER PHONE	EMPLOYER PHONE						
DOB <b>11/16/1947</b>	AGE <b>75</b>	SEX <b>M</b>	RACE <b>W</b>	JUV <b>N</b>	ETH <b>N</b>	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	HAIR	EYES	POB	RESIDENCY <b>R</b>
DL NUMBER	DL ST	SSN	FBI ID	STATE ID							
INTERNET CONTACT ADDRESS (EMAIL) <b>sk@jb70.net</b>					INTERNET CONTACT ADDRESS ()						
COMMENT											

**SUSPECTS**

ENTRY NO <b>2</b>	INVOLVEMENT <b>SU</b>	NAME: LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE <b>DAILY, JEFFREY THOMAS</b>									
HOME ADDRESS <b>1960 SW WILDWOOD RD PORT ORCHARD WA 98367</b>			MAILING ADDRESS								
EMPLOYER		EMPLOYER ADDRESS		OCCUPATION							
HOME PHONE <b>(360) 874-7517</b>		CELL PHONE		OTHER PHONE	EMPLOYER PHONE						
DOB <b>10/03/1953</b>	AGE <b>69</b>	SEX <b>M</b>	RACE <b>W</b>	JUV <b>N</b>	ETH <b>N</b>	HEIGHT <b>600</b>	WEIGHT <b>200</b>	HAIR <b>GRY</b>	EYES <b>HAZ</b>	POB <b>NM</b>	RESIDENCY <b>R</b>
DL NUMBER	DL ST	SSN	FBI ID	STATE ID							
COMMENT											

**NARRATIVE**

----- Case Imported From CSP - CSP Number Assigned = CSP43 on 7/31/2023 11:46:45 AM by D060006 At a meeting at restaurant, a fellow member of the South Kitsap School Board, Jeffrey T. Daily (DOB 10/3/53), personally threatened me in violation of RCW 9A.46.020 when he said, "Don't tell me to shut up! I'll slap your lights out, Boy". He had brought two associates to the meeting and I also brought two witnesses. I have a videorecording of the meeting and the threat. I prepared a transcript of the meeting and also have copies of the emails exchanged in setting up the meeting in order to provide the context for the threat. The purpose of the meeting was to inspect my campaign finance records under WAC 390-16-043. For extensive background on the relationship between Daily and myself, see my website, www.SKSchools.info. David Kimble of Manchester, an associate of Daily, was also at the meeting and published his account on his website at <https://citizenssupportingsouthkitsapschooldistrict.com/the-cowardly-sksd-board-member-.html>.

"SAID I'M NEXT"

J06

INCIDENT REPORT

PORT ORCHARD POLICE DEPARTMENT

PO230028



# PORT ORCHARD POLICE DEPARTMENT

546 BAY STREET  
PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366

## SUPPLEMENT INCIDENT REPORT

CASE NUMBER <b>PO230028</b>	SUPPLEMENT NUMBER <b>1</b>
CASE TYPE <b>INFORMATION ONLY</b>	CAD EVENT NUMBER
REPORTING OFFICER <b>701 - BRANDON, ANDREW</b>	REPORT DATE

### INCIDENT

LOCATION <b>PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366</b>		DATE	TIME
PREMISE NAME			
AREA	DISTRICT	ASSIGNMENT <b>DETECTIV</b>	SHIFT <b>ONLINE</b>
JURISDICTION <b>POPD</b>			

### STATUS

WORK FLOW STATUS <b>FINAL</b>	APPROVAL <b>D060030/ABRANDO - HOLDEN, TREY</b>	APPROVAL DATE <b>08/01/2023</b>
----------------------------------	---	------------------------------------

### NARRATIVE

On 7/31/2023, I sent the victim in this case a Citizens Link to Evidence.com to submit their video and any documentation.

Sgt. Andy Brandon

Port Orchard Police Department

*I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.*

307

INCIDENT REPORT

PORT ORCHARD POLICE DEPARTMENT

PO230028



**PORT ORCHARD  
POLICE DEPARTMENT**

546 BAY STREET  
PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366

**SUPPLEMENT INCIDENT REPORT**

CASE NUMBER <b>PO230028</b>	SUPPLEMENT NUMBER <b>2</b>
CASE TYPE <b>INFORMATION ONLY</b>	CAD EVENT NUMBER
REPORTING OFFICER <b>701 - BRANDON, ANDREW</b>	REPORT DATE <b>08/28/2023</b>

**INCIDENT**

LOCATION <b>PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366</b>		DATE <b>08/28/2023</b>	TIME <b>08:58</b>
PREMISE NAME			
AREA <b>PO</b>	DISTRICT	ASSIGNMENT <b>DETECTIV</b>	SHIFT <b>ONLINE</b>
JURISDICTION <b>POPD</b>			

**STATUS**

WORK FLOW STATUS <b>FINAL</b>	APPROVAL <b>D060030/ABRANDO - HOLDEN, TREY</b>	APPROVAL DATE <b>08/28/2023</b>
----------------------------------	---	------------------------------------

**NARRATIVE  
INFO**

As of 8/28/2023, I have not received any further contact in reference this case.

**DISPOSITION**


Close case, forward to prosecutors office for review of charges.

Sgt Andy Brandon

Port Orchard Police Department

*I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.*

**JD8**



JD9

## **WILL SKSD BOARD ELMINATE ALL ORAL PUBLIC COMMENTS AT BOARD MEETINGS?**

As some of you have heard, the new South Kitsap School Board is now planning to make big changes to the school board meetings public comment policies. First, they plan to restrict comments to only those that are nice, complementary of the board and district, and won't allow opinions contrary to theirs. Next and soon, then they will dispense with all public comment period in entirety as they feel some comments are "hurtful", since they are all "experts" in education and what your child needs despite you being the parent. The full video of the discussion can be found at: <https://youtu.be/V0bT8tkvHPQ> for those who would like to hear the full discussion.

For many of you, this should be alarming at best, and very disturbing for a number of reasons. I won't list them but it is suffice to wonder why they want your money to pay for over 200 extra employees, for 8% pay raises and more perks, while lying to you about how your student is doing in school. They lied to you regarding a huge \$271M bond request full of unwanted items as well as performing no due diligence to see if any of it was either wanted by you or would work if approved. The real bond cost would be \$485M over 27 years versus what you were told. Yet they said the community was behind it and it was a "no brainer" for taxpayers to fund a huge tax request that would not raise your taxes that many of you will never see completed. Your kids will inherit this huge bill and pay much more for this and they have no vote. The only "community" that was behind it were school district unions and the employees who seek more job security as unneeded schools are built bigger needing more staff for fewer students. You can check your tax statement for 2024 online now.

Go to: <https://psearch.kitsapgov.com/psearch/> input your parcel number or address then scroll down and select tax and levy assessments. If you check your tax statement you

JD/O

that the SK Fire Levy did raise you taxes. You may recall they told us that passing their levy would not raise your taxes. Clearly that was a lie, and our school district tells these same lies over and over again.

Would you be happy and trust our district if they decide to totally discontinue public comments periods at all board meetings? With no public comment allowed soon to come to board meetings, why would you even want to consider voting for an O&M levy renewal since the board will tell you what you need and not listen to YOUR desires. If this next levy passes, it will be 85% of it going to pay and perks for all of the employees not paid for by the state. Only the remaining 15% will go for toward 'some' help for the kids. Some of the items that you don't need for your kids are SEL, CRT, free medical care, outdated curriculum, and more inappropriate curriculum.

There are stark inequities of how sports equipment is paid for and that individuals, certain teams, and certain sports are not funded equally or fairly. The District claims that levies pay for 100% of all sports programs. This is not true. The state pays for most sports funding but the money is used elsewhere by the district instead of for your student. You won't see but a few levy dollars going towards helping to catch your student up or help them to excel and move on to a community college, trade school, or college. No summer school, no additional help during the school day, no accelerated learning- no nothing.

So, just who are these arrogant "experts" who are now going to tell you what your kids need since you apparently don't know but they want your money for "their needs", not your children's. And wouldn't you know it, our legislators are currently working on legislation to increase school board directors pay equal to other management job pay levels. Here us the link to Senate Bill 6223: <http://tinyurl.com/4e27pvaj>

The SKSD Board Chair Jeff (Heifer) Wilson for those that know of him. Mr. Wilson thinks he runs the show on everything effecting your students, thus he considers himself to be "the boss.. Which begs the question, is he fit or even suitable to be on the school board, much less be the board chair? Did you know he was fired by Boeing but told you he was "laid off"? Boeing has had no recent layoffs. Did you know he lives in a house on the water on Beach Drive, it was paid for by his wife's parents? Two failed marriages, two failed businesses, but considers himself an expert on everything.

Did you know his kid is a transsexual in the district so he is most likely on the board to protect his kid from any taunting issues in the district that may harm him/her? Here is one reason he may want to rebuild all the schools. Did you know his wife works at Olalla Elementary School, calls herself a teacher, but is in fact a librarian? Did you know he has a paper mill PhD in psychology supposedly earned from an unregistered school and knows nothing about public education? Wonder who paid for that?



2011

He knows nothing about the district budget but supports the district having over 200 extra people as the unions tell him he needs to. He remains indebted to the Unions for getting elected and certainly will be their go-guy in the future when he runs for his seat again. He was the main driver of the ill-fated bond issue that was never even vetted by any agency or contractor to see if it was even feasible. But he told all of you it was at public meetings (a big lie that is on tape). He was at the Port Orchard Farmers Market "educating" voters but was really just illegally promoting the bond with another district communications employee. Heavily supported by the unions so you know that pay and perks come first, then perhaps your students. But pretty buildings are what makes education work versus teaching them something. Arrogance is his middle name as he know everything. He continued to say the bond planning efforts and work produced the best bond ever; up until the bond flopped that is.

Next is Kate Espy, asked to "retire" nurse who knows as much as Wilson about education having never done anything in public education. But, she knows that every school requires a RN to put Band-Aids on kids at \$110k plus benefits just so you feel your student is being taken care of regardless if you already have a primary care doctor that knows your student much better than a school "nurse". Espy heavily supports 30 additional personnel for SEL (Social Emotional Learning) so your student feels good about themselves versus learning academic skills to succeed. She knows nothing of finances but was supported heavily by the unions so you know where she stands on pay raises and perks for employees. She doesn't like people to question her knowledge on anything as she is an expert in everything but, in reality, understands nothing involving public education, financial issues, teaching, etc. She is also, again, spearheading discontinuing all public comments at all school board meetings either in-person or on zoom. She doesn't like being questioned when she knows nothing but acts as if she originated the thought.

Then there is Brian Pickard. A bit institutionalized given he proudly proclaims "I've been in education for 38 years" spiel. He is a district retired SKSD administrator who is now a millionaire due to the exorbitant pay and pay raises he reaps from his SKSD funded state retirement package. He says and offers nothing of value at meetings. When he does speak it is rarely pertinent and he consistently agrees with the others on everything so as to remain beloved by all. He has several family members who work for the district so he is there to ensure they keep their jobs and get those big pay raises and perks. He continues to heavily support the unions who heavily supported him thus he will do nothing but approve of hiring more people, giving away bigger pay and perk packages for all. He got his retirement so he wants all others to get the same great deal he has. He won't ever take a stand on anything or stand up for anyone as it harms his community image unless a majority of the board agrees first. He just wants everyone to be happy so spending public money for everything is easy as it isn't his.

Newly onboard is Megan Higgins who immediately ran afoul of the Public Disclosure Commission by placing her campaign signs on school property. Because she had not yet been

JDQ

elected, the Public Disclosure Commission let it slide. She is an unemployed parent with three kids in the district. She is solely on the board to ensure her kids get the best school, best teachers, and are coddled while in the SKSD system. She has zero experience in public education and knows nothing of finances. She was also heavily sponsored by the unions. Make no mistake about her, she is there to protect pay and perks for all employees and adding more employees so everyone is happy.

Finally, there is Jay Villars. Another district retired SKSD administrator who is a millionaire due to the exorbitant pay and pay raises found in the SKSD that funded his state retirement package. He was let go by SKSD on two separate occasions and now hit the jackpot winning a board seat. He has good ole boy friends in the district and is there to protect their pay and perks so that they can get the same huge retirement package he has. He has several family members who work for the district so he is there to ensure that they keep their jobs and those big pay raises and perks. He is another heavily sponsored union tool so he will do nothing but advocate for more pay and perks for all employees.

SKSD has only employee that the school board supervises, Tim Winter. This is because he is their only employee to supervise. Superintendent Tim Winter is the highest paid superintendent in the history of the district (paid approximately \$250M) but came from podunk Clarkson County, a small school district in Lewiston, WA. He came with a "Top 5" program mentality hoping to lift the district but which has failed miserably. Those lofty goals evaporated (as did recent hopes to pass the \$271M bond) and the top five has been replaced with a "top five priority plan" that prioritizes people's jobs first, pay, perks, and adding more jobs versus improving student achievement.

Since Mr. Winter was hired, school enrollment has declined as well as student state test scores. The district is 5<sup>th</sup> out of the 7 districts on the Kitsap peninsula (will soon fall to 6<sup>th</sup> according to the latest OSPI test scores). Mr. Winter continues to maintain that SKSD scores are increasing but fails to point out that other districts scores are increasing much more than those of the SKSD. OSPI data on individual school scores also reflect that there is almost no improvement since he was hired, despite the addition of hundreds of extra employees (mainly current employee family members and friends of his) pushing the payroll to the highest in the area.

Superintendent Winter would not disclose his schedule to taxpayers when he went secretly to local businesses to "educate" them on the recently failed \$271,000,000 bond plan which was clearly staged and intended to secretly promote the bond issue (not allowed by law) instead of being "transparent" which he professes he is and continues to boast to the public how open, honest, and transparent SKSD is with the taxpayers. He failed to tell the public what the real cost was and whether it was the best plan for your kids versus the unions pushing for more jobs and newer schools.

2013

Mr. Winter consistently fails to stand up to union demands so all huge pay raises and perk increases just get rubber stamped and have gone through without question. He boasts that he reduced the budget by \$5.4M to solve the deficit but in reality, secretly hired all the people back and cut the science curriculum and also many programs at the schools which were designed to help kids succeed. He tried to leave to get a Superintendent's job at Peninsula but they didn't want him. This was before he told us all he was our forever SKSD Superintendent. He has drawn the district reserves down to the absolute minimums due to adding more jobs and higher than normal pay raises to keep district and unions and union supporters happy. He is as arrogant, if not more, than Mr Wilson so they get along just fine. His decisions are based solely on keeping the unions happy, and will gladly move on without public input as he and they know it all. Has 33 years in education and knows everything about it. What is perplexing is if he and the board are so knowledgeable about public education, then wouldn't they know what the problems are and fix them?

This are the same group of people who want no public input/comments as they don't like criticism and cannot believe anyone could possibly know as much as they do about educations and kids. All they need from you is your vote on the upcoming O&M levy and later on the "new" bond initiative to keep the gravy train flowing of more jobs, more pay, and more perks; all the while saying "it's for the kids". Nothing about the SKSD is for kids. Otherwise, bonds would be easily passing by the "supportive" community they say we have in Port Orchard.

Sadly, the SKSD is the only game in town for our 40% low-income residents who must depend on them to educate their kids. Meanwhile, these working class folks work hard to pay higher taxes to a district that doesn't want their input, only their money to support the perpetual jobs programs for friends and family of current district employees.

Footnote:

For those short on time, pay strict attention to the first six minutes of this video clip. It was all pre-planned and the draft policy has already been written by Board Chair Wilson for consideration at the next board study session meeting is coming on January 31st. The next regular board meeting will be on February 14th starts at 5:30. This may be the last time we are "permitted" to give oral comments in person or Online to this school board. Here again is the link to the School Board Meeting they called "a retreat": <https://youtu.be/V0bT8tkvHPQ>



JDH

Policy Type: Governance Culture

Counted votes and roll call votes will be recorded in the minutes. The vote of an individual director will be recorded in the minutes at the request of that director or by order of the board. All votes will be approved by majority of those present and voting, unless otherwise required by law. No action will be taken by secret ballot at any meeting required to be open to the public.

An oral roll call vote of all the members of the board is required for the election of board officers, filling a vacancy on the board, or for the selection of the school district superintendent, and a majority vote of all the members of the board is required for any person to be elected or selected for such positions.

### Written Public Comment

The board recognizes the value of public comment on educational issues and the importance of involving members of the public in its meetings. As such, the board ~~will~~ has established and maintains a 24/7 email address ([publiccomment@skschools.org](mailto:publiccomment@skschools.org)) for written public comments that ~~will~~ are immediately ~~be~~ forwarded to the entire board upon receipt. This is the primary, fastest, and most effective way for the public to share thoughts with the Board. This enhanced 24/7 Public Comment channel also allows the board time to reflect (and respond) to the public's concerns in a timelier fashion than afforded by traditional Oral Public Comment. Those written public comments that are received up until 3:00 pm on any given meeting day, ~~thus providing the board time to review and reflect upon the public comments prior to each board meeting.~~ will also be included in the Written Public Comment Topic Summary (prepared by the Board Secretary). Importantly, during regular (or special) meetings, the Board Secretary will read the Public Comments Topic Summary and the Board will have the opportunity to discuss the public's input before taking final action on agenda topics.

### Oral Public Comment

At the Board's discretion (and where required by law), an Oral Public Comment section may also be added to regular or special meetings of the board. Furthermore When Oral Public comment is taken, and in order to permit fair and orderly expression of verbal in-person public comments, the board will provide a (up to 30 minute) period at the beginning of each regular or special board meeting during which attendees may present in-person to the board. If more than 10 participants indicate that they wish to make verbal oral comments at the start of the board meeting (thereby potentially exceeding the 30-minute cap) names will may be randomly selected from the list of potential speakers until the requested speaker list is exhausted or the 30-minute time period expires (whichever comes first). The chair/president may extend the Oral Public Comments section (in 30min blocks) if deemed appropriate by the majority of the board. Rules for each 30min block will follow the protocols for Oral Public Comment outlined above.

The board will make reasonable accommodations available for individuals who have difficulty attending an in-person board meeting but would still like to make a verbal public comment. For those individuals whose circumstances make it difficult to attend in person we will provide an option to attend the meeting virtually via "Zoom" or equivalent technology. Those requesting the option of remote public comment must send in a request to our public comment email address stating their legal name and indicating their desire to speak during public comment no later than 3:00 pm on the day of the meeting. Those remote requesters doing so will be added to the list of potential speakers for public comment at the start of the board meeting.

Policy Type: Governance Culture

Individuals, after identifying themselves by ~~legal~~ name, will proceed to make comments within the time limits established by the board. Each individual will be allowed three minutes to speak. The chair/president may interrupt or terminate any statement when it exceeds the time limit, or is vulgar, obscene or grossly disruptive to the board process. Any restriction imposed must be viewpoint neutral. The board as a whole has the final decision in determining the appropriateness of all such rulings.

Oral Public eComment is an opportunity to direct comments to the school board for its consideration. Any specific operational questions raised during public comment will be addressed to the chair/president, who will typically refer the question to the superintendent for a detailed response to the individual at a later time. The superintendent will then also report the response to the board at a subsequent public meeting as needed.

### Minutes

The secretary of the board keeps the minutes of all board meetings. Minutes become official after approval by the board's next regularly scheduled meeting and must be retained as a permanent record of the district. Minutes must be comprehensive and shall show:

1. The date, time, and place of the meeting
2. The presiding officer
3. Members in attendance
4. Items discussed during the meeting and the results of any voting that may have occurred
5. Action to recess for executive session with a general statement of the purpose
6. Time of adjournment, and
7. Signature of presiding officer and date minutes approved.

Unofficial minutes will be provided to board members in advance of the next regularly scheduled meeting of the board and will also be available to other interested citizens. Minutes need not be read publicly, provided that members have had an opportunity to review them before approval.

A file of permanent minutes of all board meetings will be maintained in the office of the board secretary to be made available for inspection upon the request of any interested citizen.

OPMA encourages, but does not require, agencies to make an audio or video recording of their regular meetings and make those recordings available online for a minimum of six months. As such, when regular school board meetings are recorded, they will be made available on the district's website for up to 12 months after their recording date for easy access and viewing by the public.

During the interim between meetings, the office of the superintendent, as board secretary, shall be the office of the board. The district's public records shall be open for inspection in the manner provided by and subject to the limitation of the law.

### Meeting Recordings

Effective June 30, 2024, all regular and special meetings of the board at which a final action is taken or formal public testimony is accepted, except executive sessions or emergency meetings, will be audio recorded. Such recordings will be maintained for at least one year. The recording will include the comments of the directors and the comments of members of the public if formal public testimony is accepted at the meeting.

## NEWS

### SKSD's Daily under fire for yet another outburst

By Elisha Meyer Port Orchard Independent • January 16, 2023 1:30 am



South Kitsap School District director Jeff Daily of District 5.

The South Kitsap School District board's internal struggles have continued into the new year after the first 2023 meeting was adjourned early due to verbal arguments between director Jeff Daily and other board members.

It was business as usual for the two hours and 15 minutes of a work-study to review the draft details of a \$271 million bond aimed at renovation efforts of several schools. The board also began discussion on whether to dissolve the Facilities Long-Range Planning Advisory Committee, which was set to be voted on at the next board meeting.

The mood changed, however, when discussions surrounding the board's audit committee began. Director John Berg recalled a proposed governance culture policy that had been determined to be controversial regarding the committee and how it was to function. The policy was not adopted, but with the draft in hand Berg said he wanted it referred to legal counsel for comment and asked board president Jeffrey Wilson to do so.

Daily called out that action, saying the board needed to make such legal decisions as a whole. "We're supposed to be a board," he said. "You didn't ask the board. You just did it." Daily, who has multiple lawsuits filed against the school district, continued to argue, and director Kate Epply told him he could not behave like he was. Wilson agreed, saying that Daily was overreacting.

At one point, Daily said, "I've got the floor, Slick," though it is unknown to whom he said that to due to technical issues with the Zoom recording. The meeting ended shortly after Daily's microphone was muted as he continued to create a disturbance.

Wilson later called out Daily for his behavior, labeling it as childish and self-centered. "Obviously, the guy's got a lot of problems, and he hasn't been working well with the board, but we've been getting the good work we need to get done in spite of his antics."

[Previous](#)

[Spartans tumble over Vikings and Bucs](#)

[Next >](#)

[SK girls wrestling slowly building up new program](#)

JD17



**IF YOU'D LIKE TO READ THE SKSD BOARD'S DEMAND FOR  
DIRECTOR DAILY'S RESIGNATION,  
CONTINUE READING..**

Footnote:

Sad if not ironic, Wilson also promoted and signed as SKSD Board President "A Joint Statement of Civility & Respect" January 28, 2022. You will find that at the last page.



JD/18

December 21, 2022

To: Jeffrey Daily (South Kitsap School Board Director)  
Fr: Jeffrey (Jefé) Wilson (South Kitsap School Board President)  
Cc: Director Pickard, Director Berg, Director Espy, Superintendent Winter

Re: Director Daily Conflicts of Interest.

I am writing you today (via your attorney) to formally notify you that you are in multiple violations of our SK School Board Policies (see GC-7, GC-8) and Washington State Laws (see RCW 42.23.030) on Conflict of Interest. Per our policy (and my role as Board President under GC-4) on December 7<sup>th</sup>, I attempted to speak with you privately prior to our last School Board meeting about my concerns with your behavior but you declined to speak with me outside of the board meeting itself. Typically, the next step in this process (see GC-9) would be to speak with you as an entire board about these concerns during a closed executive session at today's meeting, but you have also declined to attend any executive sessions in recent months. So, after consultation with our attorney, I am proceeding with this letter (delivered through your attorney) as a means for convey my concerns about your multiple violations of policy and law.

Conflict #1: Operating as an Agent Against SKSD (see GC-7, GC-8, B/SR-3, RCW 42.23.030). You are operating as your Wife's council in her workplace complaint against the SK School District which has included meeting with District Administrators and District Attorneys while representing your wife against the district. This directly violates both state law and our policies. You should NOT be participating in or attempting to influence any employment actions such as hiring, firing, wages, benefits, working conditions, performance reviews, and disciplinary actions related to your spouse. You must cease and desist in the behavior immediately.

Conflict #2: You have been putting your Anti-Tax membership group interests above the District's interests (see GC-7). You are a confirmed founding partner (and principal) of the CSSKSD anti-district, anti-union, anti-school board group where you continue to produce hit pieces again other school board members (including me), the district, and our teachers and staff. You have stated in board meetings that you will not support our school rebuilding bond efforts on their merits and instead are trying to withhold approval of a bond as leverage to eliminate what you personally deem to be "excess staff" which are in fact voter-backed and levy-funded positions. You are also the head of an anti-tax group targeting the fire-fighters bond. My assumption is that you will continue put your anti-tax agenda ahead of valid school capital and operational needs through your web-site propaganda presumably form a formal group to fight a new school bond should the full school board vote to approve one for a ballot in the coming year (2023).

Conflict#3: You have been misrepresenting the school board's intentions regarding our Funding Sourcing Intentions, Infrastructure Rebuilding Feasibility Studies, and School Bond Approval Process (see GC-7, GC-4). It has come to my attention that you have been acting as a board



JDW

representative and approaching banks an implying that we are seeking alternatives to traditional school bond funding; we are not and have ONLY been pursuing capital bond funding options to date. You have been making phone calls to public officials regarding our growth property on old Clifton board implying that you are representing the board, you are NOT and have NOT been authorized you to participate in this process. Furthermore, the district has hired a bond consultant to handle such matters and we are working with him directly on these matters. Lastly, you have been writing letters to the newspaper (again appearing to speak for the board) and describing (albeit inaccurately) our approach for the bond while imposing several of your personally developed hurdles as part of the formal process. Again, you do not (and should not) speak for the board. In my role as president, I am the sole designated representative for the press (see GC-4).

Like it or not, your role as a South Kitsap School Board Director is in effect 24/7 and 365 days a year which means that both state laws and our own Board policies apply to you whether you would like them to or not. Board members cannot pick and choose in what capacity (and which times) their duty to “conduct themselves lawfully with integrity and high ethical standards” should apply; they must always behave ethically. If you would like to be unencumbered with the duties and responsibilities of an elected School Board member, I would suggest that you tender your resignation as South Kitsap School Board Director #5 effective immediately.

Sincerely,

Jefé.....

Jeffrey D. Wilson, PhD  
South Kitsap School Board President  
School Board Director – District #4

JDB

Jeff Daily Response  
POI Story Published 01-16-23

A local paper published an article relating to the January 4<sup>th</sup> SKSD board meeting. The reporter was given my attorney's contact information for my comments, but the paper did not contact my attorney so the story was published without my attorneys or my comments. This delayed response is due to me not having all of the facts at that time I was contacted by the reporter.

Listening to the audio of the SKSD board meeting held January 4<sup>th</sup>, one can hear where Mr. Berg fails to accurately recite the pertinent laws I requested and Mr. Wilson and Ms. Espy offer their own critical comments regarding my displeasure. Mr. Wilson offers more inappropriate commentary suggesting my actions were "antics" and implied a "mental issue" as well as illegally muting me. He then abruptly stopped the meeting. Their dialogue sounded scripted, because the other board members and the superintendent were in possession of a letter drafted by Mr. Wilson; dated December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2022.

Mr. Wilson's letter is nothing short of a demand letter seeking my immediate resignation. The districts law firm delayed transmitting Mr. Wilson's letter to my attorney, thus I was not aware of it until Friday, January 6<sup>th</sup>, two days after the board meeting. Why would Mr. Wilson demand that I resign my seat now? Union and District politics stand out, as I am perceived to be a threat to the status quo for both should I choose to run for re-election. I apparently represent a threat to secretive SKEA negotiations for the ever increasing pay raises and employee perks. Disparaging me at meetings and now this demand for my resignation is clearly an attempt to strengthen their cherry picked board candidate chances of winning my seat.

Mr. Wilson's letter alleges three conflicts. First, a grievance was filed by my spouse against the district. He alleges that I interfered in the complaint process. How; because I support my wife in this matter. She has her own attorney so there are no ethical or legal restrictions with a spouse offering support. There is a legal issue now since this board has violated my spouse's rights to confidentiality. Grievances must be kept confidential, yet this board knew all about this grievance. How did the entire board learn about this grievance when only two other district and union people attended the grievance meeting? Who leaked the information to Mr. Wilson and the board?

Secondly, the letter alleges that I continue stating my concerns regarding 403 additional unfunded district employees which the state does not pay for and costs local taxpayers over \$30M a year. This concern has yet to be addressed and I cannot support the November bond measure until it is resolved. Mr. Wilson and the board complain this is not "proper" behavior. With student enrollment falling, which affects the budget, and academic scores continuing to decline, this is not only "proper"; but actually required due diligence. Isn't money for the students the taxpayer's first priority?

Finally, Mr. Wilson alleges I inappropriately spoke with various banks in our community to explore other local financing options for the proposed bond(s). He claims, then legislates that

2021

only he is authorized to speak to the community. Exactly where in the law and constitution is this written? The board and the district talk a lot about community partnerships. They say we need their support of the District, yet the facts show otherwise. When I apprised local businesses of the district's proposed funding plans, none of them had heard of them. No one at the district bothered to ask them if they wish to help. Using a Seattle bond broker will enrich them with millions in commissions on the sale of the proposed bonds versus our local institutions. I am the only board member who reviews the district's bills and accounts. I have many examples documenting the district's use of businesses outside our own community. Thankfully, our businesses remain committed to the students and district.

Currently the board carries a 4-1 majority of votes, so why the attempt to stifle the "minority" member's voice? Apparently, diverse opinions aren't wanted and they certainly don't consider the minority voice as equal. Last year, Mr. Wilson held an illegal executive session over a comment he considered a threat to his ego. His illegal actions were an attempt to intimidate me. Now he works on shredding my reputation and attempts to socially shame me. Our community is certainly tired of hearing all of arguments and discord among board members. But it is far easier for Mr. Wilson, Mr. Berg, and the others to scapegoat me for all the board's ills and dysfunction by requesting my resignation.

2022

# Joint Statement of Civility and Respect

Jan 28 2022

## Joint Statement of Civility and Respect

The South Kitsap School District is the heart of the South Kitsap community. Staff, students, families, and community members collectively determine what we stand for – that is the SK Way.

Each of us hold tremendous power in our daily interactions. The words we choose and the way we treat each other matter. We want our students to treat others with respect, kindness, and dignity and that same expectation should apply to the adults in our community as well. In the district, we believe in focusing on student success by embracing diversity and ensuring mutual respect. These beliefs must stretch beyond the classroom into our homes and into our community.

When we witness or take part in a hurtful exchange, it is easy to brush it off and think that the actions or beliefs of a few do not represent our community as a whole. Instead, we must acknowledge our differences. Our unique backgrounds, viewpoints, and stories are what make us who we are as individuals. They are also what make us who we are as a community. Let us strive to listen, seek to understand, and identify what we have in common.

Regardless of our differences, it is the responsibility of us all to show civility, respect and kindness to each other. Finding common ground may be difficult, but it is essential in order to grow and work together effectively. Only then, can we hope to move forward in a positive way.

Together we are South Kitsap!

Tim Winter, Superintendent

Jeffrey Wilson, Board President

John Richardson, SKEA President

Jacque Meddles, PSE President

Troy Grub, SEIU President

Barbara Pixton, SKAP



English



JD23

State of Washington  
PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm. 206, PO Box 40908 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0908  
(360) 753-1111 • FAX (360) 753-1112

Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 • E-mail: [pdcc@pdcc.wa.gov](mailto:pdcc@pdcc.wa.gov) • Website: [www.pdcc.wa.gov](http://www.pdcc.wa.gov)

October 25, 2023

Delivered electronically to [skcdrt01@gmail.com](mailto:skcdrt01@gmail.com), [jwpdaily@wavecable.com](mailto:jwpdaily@wavecable.com) and [votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com](mailto:votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com)

Subject: Complaint filed by Jeffrey D. Wilson, PDC Case 140557

Dear South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation and Treasurer Jeffrey T. Daily:

Below is a copy of an electronic letter sent to Jeffrey D. Wilson concerning a complaint filed with the Public Disclosure Commission (PDC).

As noted in the letter to Jeffrey D. Wilson, the PDC has dismissed this matter in accordance with RCW 42.17A.755(1) and will not conduct a more formal investigation into these allegations or take further enforcement action in this matter.

However, pursuant to WAC 390-37-060(1)(d), this serves as a formal written warning concerning the inaccurate email address disclosed on the committee's C-1pc report, which was corrected on 7/31/23. PDC staff expects you to disclose and maintain accurate contact information with the PDC in future years. The Commission will consider this formal written warning in deciding on further Commission action if there are future violations of PDC laws or rules.

PDC staff is also reminding SKCDRT that it should make every effort to timely accommodate digital or in-person requests to inspect its books of account during the 10 days preceding an election by granting access to such records within 48 hours of the inspection date and time requested by the requester. Due to its mini-reporting status, the committee's financial information is not disclosed on reports filed with the PDC and, as a result, the public's only access to this information occurs during the brief 10-day window prior to an election.

If you have questions, you may contact Tabatha Blacksmith at 1-360-586-8929, toll-free at 1-877-601-2828 or by e-mail at [pdcc@pdcc.wa.gov](mailto:pdcc@pdcc.wa.gov)

Sincerely,

Electronically signed Tabatha Blacksmith  
Tabatha Blacksmith  
Compliance Officer

Endorsed by,

Electronically signed Peter Frey Lavallee  
Peter Frey Lavallee  
Executive Director



JO24

**State of Washington  
PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION**

711 Capitol Way Rm. 206, PO Box 40908 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0908  
(360) 753-1111 • FAX (360) 753-1112

**Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 • E-mail: [pdc@pdc.wa.gov](mailto:pdc@pdc.wa.gov) • Website: [www.pdc.wa.gov](http://www.pdc.wa.gov)**

October 25, 2023

Delivered electronically to [jeff@psygenx.com](mailto:jeff@psygenx.com)

Subject: Complaint regarding South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation, PDC Case 140557

Dear Jeffrey D. Wilson:

The Public Disclosure Commission (PDC) has completed its review of the complaint you filed on July 31, 2023. The complaint alleged that South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation, a continuing committee, and its Treasurer, Jeffrey T. Daily, may have violated RCW 42.17A.235 by failing to timely provide access to accurate books of account per an inspection request.

PDC staff reviewed the allegations and evidence you submitted; the applicable statutes, rules, and reporting requirements; the responses provided by South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation (SKCDRT) (the "Respondent") and its Treasurer; the applicable PDC reports filed by the Respondent; and other relevant information to determine whether the record supports a finding of one or more violations.

Based on staff's review, we found the following:

- RCW 42.17A.205 requires every political committee to register with the PDC and file a statement of organization (C-1pc report) containing, among other things, its electronic contact information.
- The Respondent is a continuing committee that registered with the PDC on 8/8/22 and selected the Mini-Reporting option on its C-1pc report. SKCDRT amended its C-1pc report on 2/3/23 and 7/30/23. The committee indicated it opposes two ballot propositions<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Proposition 1 entitled *Regular Property Tax Levy Lid Lift for Fire Protection & Emergency Medical Services* was adopted by the South Kitsap Fire and Rescue District and submitted to voters for consideration at the August 1, 2023 Primary election. According to Kitsap County Elections' website, this measure was passed by voters.

Proposition 1 entitled *Capital Improvement and School Construction General Obligation Bonds - \$271,000,000* was adopted by the South Kitsap School District 402 and has been submitted to voters for their consideration at the November 7, 2023 General election.

JD25

- The email address disclosed by the committee on its C-1pc reports filed 8/8/22 and 2/3/23 was [skcdrt101@gmail.com](mailto:skcdrt101@gmail.com). The committee's email address was later corrected to [skcdrt01@gmail.com](mailto:skcdrt01@gmail.com) on 7/30/23.
- As a Mini-Reporter, the Respondent is not required to file periodic C-3 and C-4 reports with the PDC, disclosing its contribution and expenditure activities. However, the committee is required to make its books of account available for public inspection during the 10 calendar days preceding an election.
- RCW 42.17A.235(6) & (7) require the Treasurer of a political committee to maintain accurate and current books of account. During the 10 calendar days preceding an election, the committee must make its records available for public inspection to a requester via one of the following means:
  1. by allowing in-person access to records at a mutually agreed upon location between 9AM-5PM on any day within 48 hours of the requested inspection day/time (excluding Saturday, Sunday or a legal holiday); or
  2. by providing digital access or copies of records in lieu of scheduling an in-person inspection.

A committee's refusal to allow and keep an appointment for inspection is a violation of .235.

- On June 16, 2023, David Kimble emailed the PDC regarding an \$820 independent expenditure (IE) he made for yard signs. The value of the signs was below the reporting threshold for an IE, which was adjusted to \$1,000 effective April 1, 2023, so PDC staff informed Mr. Kimble that he was not required to file a C-6 report disclosing the IE.
  - Mr. Kimble later confirmed that his IE included two types of yard signs: 1) a red sign with white text opposing South Kitsap Fire & Rescue's Proposition 1; and 2) a pale-yellow sign with black text opposing South Kitsap School District 402's Proposition 1.
- The 2023 Primary Election was held on Tuesday, August 1, 2023 so the 10-day books of account inspection period for political committees commenced on Saturday, July 22, 2023.
- On Friday, July 28, 2023 at 9:25AM, the Complainant, Jeffrey Wilson, emailed the Respondent's Treasurer at the email address disclosed on its C-1pc report, which was [SKCDRT101@gmail.com](mailto:SKCDRT101@gmail.com). In this email, the Complainant requested a copy of the Respondent's financial records via email as soon as possible or an in-person meeting anytime on Friday 7/28/23 or Monday afternoon, which was August 31, 2023 and the day before the Primary election.
  - The email sent to [SKCDRT101@gmail.com](mailto:SKCDRT101@gmail.com) bounced and the Complainant received a Delivery Status Notification (Failure) message stating his message was not delivered "because the address couldn't be found or is unable to receive mail."
  - At 9:35AM, the Complainant reached out to the Respondent at the telephone number disclosed on its C-1pc report (360-874-7517) and left a voice mail

Joak

- At 11:02AM on Friday 7/28/23, the Complainant sent another request for financial records to the Respondent's Treasurer using a different email address ([votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com](mailto:votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com)). In this email, the Complainant informed the Respondent that the email address listed on their registration ([SKCDRT101@gmail.com](mailto:SKCDRT101@gmail.com)) was incorrect and emails sent to it were not being delivered. The Complainant asked the committee to update its registration with the PDC.
  - The Respondent replied at 4:42PM saying: "Try taking out one of the ones" and did not provide the requested records digitally or schedule an in-person books inspection.
- At 5:07PM on Friday 7/28/23, the Complainant sent another email to [votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com](mailto:votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com) requesting the Respondent's Treasurer provide its financial records that same day (7/28).
  - The Respondent replied at 7:28PM and said: "WAC 390-16-043 You need to read it! Not my fault you can't tell 01 from 101." The Respondent did not provide the requested records digitally or schedule an in-person books inspection.
- At 7:37PM on Friday 7/28/23, the Complainant sent another email to [votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com](mailto:votenosksdbondsandlevys@gmail.com) requesting the Respondent either provide its financial records electronically that day (7/28) or schedule an in-person inspection for Monday 7/31/23.
- On July 31, 2023, the Respondent amended its C-Ipc report (committee registration) to correct its erroneous email address from [skcdrt101@gmail.com](mailto:skcdrt101@gmail.com) to [skcdrt01@gmail.com](mailto:skcdrt01@gmail.com).
- On Monday 7/31/23 at 8:45PM, the Respondent fulfilled the books of account inspection request by attaching a digital copy of its records to an email and sending it to the Complainant. In the email, the Respondent's Treasurer said: "I have not heard a response from you as to meeting date or time" and indicated the requested document was attached "to save us both time."
- In a complaint filed on July 31, 2023, the Complainant indicated his belief that the Respondent did not timely comply with his books inspection request and provided a "fake" email address on its C-Ipc report. On August 3, 2023, the Complainant supplemented his complaint with an allegation that the financial records provided to him by the Respondent were incomplete because he said they failed to include "Anti-Fire" and "Anti-School Bond" yards signs opposing Proposition 1 that were erected in South Kitsap.
  - As indicated above, the two types of signs opposing Proposition 1 (fire and school) were an independent expenditure made by an individual and were not purchased by SKCDRT.
- On September 25, 2023, the Respondent's Treasurer, Jeff Daily, contacted the PDC by telephone regarding the complaint filed against them. Mr. Daily said he spoke to the PDC's Deputy Director after they received the campaign books inspection request, and he recalls her asking the committee to provide the records by Monday 7/31/23. Mr. Daily



JD27

also said he checked their committee's email address and it appeared to be correct, adding that they live in a rural area where the internet is spotty.

- On September 27, 2023, the Respondent's Treasurer emailed the PDC a written response to the complaint wherein he indicated his belief that 1) the committee was only required to *respond* within five days, not meet and allow a books inspection to occur within five days; and 2) the email address reported by the committee on its C-1pc was accurate. The Treasurer said he spoke to the PDC's Deputy Director on Monday 7/31/23 about the timing of providing access to the committee's books of account and disagreed with the PDC's interpretation of the requirement. He said he told the Deputy Director he would accommodate the Complainant's inspection request later that day (7/31/23). In the 9/27/23 response, the Treasurer also said the financial statements he provided to the Complainant were correct.
- On October 15, 2023, the Respondent's Treasurer indicated that SKCDRT purchased dark, yellow-colored signs with black text opposing South Kitsap School District 402's Proposition 1 that were delivered and paid for in August, after the complaint was filed by Mr. Wilson.

Aggravating and mitigating factors in this case include the following:

- The original request for books inspection made by the Complainant included two options for the committee to provide access to its records: 1) "via email ASAP" or 2) by scheduling an in-person inspection "anytime" on Friday 7/28/23 or Monday 7/31/23 "in the afternoon." The Respondent ultimately did provide digital access to its records within 48 hours of the requested Monday afternoon in-person inspection and prior to the Primary Election.
- The inaccurate email address on the Respondent's C-1pc report delayed the Complainant's request for a campaign books inspection and might have thwarted it altogether if the latter had not located an alternate email address that does not appear on the Respondent's C-1pc report.
- The Respondent does not have previous warnings/violations of PDC requirements.

Based on our findings, staff has determined that, in this instance, the committee's failure to accurately report its email address on a C-1pc does not amount to a violation that warrants further investigation.

Pursuant to WAC 390-37-060(1)(d), however, South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation (SKCDRT) will receive a formal written warning concerning the inaccurate email address disclosed on its C-1pc report. The formal written warning will include staff's expectation that SKCDRT disclose and maintain accurate contact information with the PDC in the future. The Commission will consider the formal written warning in deciding on further Commission action if there are future violations of PDC laws or rules.

PDC staff is also reminding SKCDRT that it should make every effort to timely accommodate digital or in-person requests to inspect its books of account during the 10 days preceding an election by granting access to such records within 48 hours of the inspection date and time requested by the requester. Due to its mini-reporting status, the committee's financial

JD-28

information is not disclosed on reports filed with the PDC and, as a result, the public's only access to this information occurs during the brief 10-day window prior to an election.

Based on this information, the PDC finds that no further action is warranted and has dismissed this matter in accordance with RCW 42.17A.755(1).

If you have questions, you may contact Tabatha Blacksmith at 1-360-586-8929, toll-free at 1-877-601-2828 or by e-mail at [pdc@pdc.wa.gov](mailto:pdc@pdc.wa.gov)

Sincerely,

Endorsed by,

Electronically signed Tabatha Blacksmith

Tabatha Blacksmith  
Compliance Officer

Electronically signed Peter Frey Lavallee

Peter Frey Lavallee  
Executive Director

cc: South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation

# Daily Paranoid Letter

September 27, 2023

JDW

**From: Jeff Daily (Chairman of South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation)**

**To: Ms. Tabitha Blacksmith, PDC Compliance Case Officer**

**Copy: Ms. Kim Bradford, PDC Compliance Officer Supervisor; Mr. Phil Stutzman, PDC Compliance Officer**

**Subj: Response to PDC Complaint #140557 filed by SKSD Board Chairman Jeffrey Wilson**

Dear Ms. Blacksmith,

I offer the following in regards to the many false and baseless allegations made by SKSD Board Chairman Jeffery Wilson.

## **Lack of Understanding of PDC rules.**

SKSD Board Chairman Wilson does not appear to understand that it is the person who holds the records that sets the meeting date, location, and time to review (PAC) financials. Chairman Wilson was extremely arrogant and rude on the telephone when he demanded that I meet within two hours of him contacting me or on a Monday afternoon.

## **Five-day Response Time Rule in Dispute.**

Nowhere within the PDC is requirements or rules there a stipulation that a financials review meeting must occur within 5-days. PDC language does not address that weekends are counted as days for timing purposes to comply with offering financial reports. In fact, Chairman Wilson himself ruled out meeting on the weekend thus gave his stipulated deadline to receive our financials on the Monday following the weekend. I submit that if our Governor was asked to produce his campaign financial records on a weekend that he might tell the PDC he would provide them at the first of the week. After all, his office hours are from M-F. Even the PDC does not work on weekends so why is there an expectation that I would be available to satisfy Director Wilson's demands? The regulation is unclear and even three PDC employees disagree on what days are included in the 5-day response requirement.

## **Who Sets Meeting Parameters for Financial Records Inspections.**

It remains my understanding that it was me who was to decide the meeting parameters with Chairman Wilson. In fact, Chairman Wilson himself stated this same requirement. And, I did respond that I got his message within 5 days so the requirement was completed. The next prescribed step was to move on to the meeting he requested. Sadly, he was so arrogant, demanding, and rude that I was going to opt for the email option he asked rather than face-face meeting. It was after that friendly prodding by Ms. Blacksmith, Mr. Stutzman, and Ms. Bradford that I complied with their 'suggestions' to send Chairman Wilson those disputed financials.

## **Communications with Ms. Kim Bradford.**

On Monday, I received a call from Ms. Kim Bradford, who for some reason was interceding on Director Wilson's behalf with claims made by Director Wilson that I had been unresponsive to him. According to me, the time had not even expired unless you count 5-days from 9:30 AM on the (Friday?) when Director Wilson called my residence making demands and threatening comments.

I am not sure why she was involved as this is a routine matter but I suspect that Director Wilson had badgered her to make the call so that he could show I had been unresponsive. His demands became what I view as obsessive /compulsive as he sent emails to me no less than five times with multiple phone messages as well.

Ms. Bradford and I discussed the matter. I told her I disagreed that that the meeting had to occur within 5-days (only the contact) but would accommodate Director Wilson by sending him the information he requested later in

SD30

the day. She seemed satisfied with my response and desire to be accommodating. I sent the information at 8:43 PM on Monday to Director Wilson. The PDC never confirmed this I wonder why this issue remains.

Director Wilson's intentions of requesting the information are suspect. What he really wanted was to embarrass the organization as the SKFR election was days away so that it might influence the election. If he really wanted the information for a valid reason, he would have been happy to get the information as the process dictates.

### **New Issues from Director Wilson**

It now appears that Director Wilson was not satisfied with the information provided by me. Since he is unknowledgeable about our organization, it stands to reason that he knows little if anything about us or the PDC. I found his accusations troubling. If he feels the information is incorrect, he is allowed to file a lawsuit on his own and prove his case. Using the PDC as a "poor man's litigation" tool demonstrates his desire for revenge and making this a political stunt for the record.

I am a SKSD board member and Mr. Wilson is the SKSD Board President. Director Wilson has been trying to get me to resign for over a year so this is just retaliation for not acquiescing to his demands. He is looking for things to promote his own agenda and to destroy my chances of running for reelection. As we are both public officials, the bar is high to prove for any director much less Director Wilson with his both troublesome and taxing political motivations.

### **Non-elected Non-school Board Individual also Attacked by Director Wilson.**

Please note that Director Wilson has attacked another person who is not a public official. His comments are slanderous to Mr. Kimble. What Mr. Kimble does with his money and time are his own business. Mr. Kimble told me he purchased signs with his own money and asked that I help him put them out if I had time. Mr. Kimble refused to "donate" the signs to my organization or purchase them for the organization, as is documented in an email exchange between Mr. Kimble and Compliance Officer Stutzman. Mr. Stutzman confirmed that Mr. Kimble did nothing wrong and in fact had zero PDC reporting requirements for the signs he purchased with totaled in value of less than the prescribed \$1,000 cap. Yet Director Wilson attempted to tell Mr. Kimble how to run his life and tie his actions in his association with my organization. He even threatened civil litigation along with other disgusting threats and allegations.

His actions were related to his prior complaint he lodged against Mr. Kimble and myself about photographically 'altered' campaign signs. Ms. Tabatha Townsend dismissed that complaint with no further action. (*Please refer to PDC Case # 140132*). The dismissal was primarily based upon Directors Wilson and Pickard not being candidates in this current election cycle.

Director Wilson continues to assume that because another person does something that he doesn't like, then that person is acting as part of an organization. Obviously Director Wilson does not understand that people are responsible for their own actions, not the actions of others. Mr. Kimble has been active in politics long before I met him and certainly long before Director Wilson became involved in anything related to South Kitsap School District School Board politics.

### **Financials and Claims of Fake Reports and Contact Information.**

The financial statements I provided Mr. Wilson are correct. The signs that were purchased by my organization were declared in the financials provided to Director Wilson. Interesting how Director Wilson casts about disparaging comments in correspondence to PDC using the words "Fake" for financial and contact information. Director Wilson obviously had no problem contacting me to complain and harangue me regarding PDC matters. It is also odd/interesting that my group did receive emails at the account Director Wilson claims was a fake (email) account. Most people go to the internet, type in our address, and send me an email. Pretty simple if you type it in correctly. As part of my discussion with Ms. Bradford, she did ask me to verify the address on the PDC site was accurate. I went to our page, verified it was correct but typed it in again just to insure it was in the PDC database correctly. Again, if Director Wilson cares about financial impropriety, then he should file a civil lawsuit as it is a serious charge levied by Director Wilson.

JD31

I also find it odd that Director Wilson's request for our information came right after our group requested the financial information on SKSD Board Director John Berg, who is a fellow school board member who was running for re-election. Since we have never had any other requests for our financial, and the fact that Director Wilson's request came immediately after our request for information from SKSD Director Berg, it is a bit puzzling. That is unless Director Berg called Director Wilson to tell him we had requested his information. And why would Director Berg involve the school board chairman in the issue except that Director Berg is a favorite Director Wilson, and, of course, I am not. As a footnote, Director Berg will not be serving on the school board after this election in November.

Since Director Wilson chose this method to air his arrogance, false, rude remarks, and demands for the purpose of embarrassing versus problem solving, please consider the following:

Isn't it odd that Director Wilson suddenly became involved in the SKFR Levy when no other SKSD Board of Directors have? I suspect that Director Wilson (remember, SKSD Board Chairman) decided to help the SKFR pass their levy by finding something to tell the voters about our/my organization so that the levy would pass and our organization would not be discredited. Even the SKFR, who put \$8000 worth of signs out on their own, had no interest in the few signs that Mr. Kimble put out or my organization's involvement. Now that the SKFR levy has passed, I suspect that the SKFR will return the favor when the SKSD Bond is on the primary ballot in November. We expect "others" will be requesting to see our financial information due to the signs they see put out by my organization opposing the SKSD Bond. Signs I paid for, declared in my financials, and submitted the required PDC form to report.

I think it quite clear that Director Wilson is continuing to play the role of a political operative, and in a sense, also as some sort of clumsy agent provocateur in efforts to have your agency investigate and perhaps penalize me when I have done absolutely nothing wrong, much less be in violation of any PDC rules or policies.

And this... is how an SKSD School Board Chairman behaves in his effort to support the District's upcoming \$271,000,000 bond in November? But that's not all. Director Wilson and 'others' have submitted previous PDC complaints in retaliation to those submitted by people I am familiar with, their efforts have been to falsely tie them to my organization. It matters little but it is time that the PDC look at past complaints and judge for themselves when a complaint is trivial and just to put political capital on record for future use in elections. And sadly, it appears that the PDC is becoming a political weapon by many who are involved here in local politics.

In summary, our organization and I have done nothing wrong. We frequently call the PDC for clarification on issues we are unclear on and have watched training videos you have kindly offered in our efforts to ensure we are compliant with your regulations. We tire of arrogant people who don't understand the laws making false accusations because things don't go their way on the time schedule they want them to. We are responsible and responsive to anyone who desires our information to learn and understand us. We will even reluctantly work with those who are politically motivated for personal reason to "get even" when we are merely acting within our full set of rights that that constitutionally protected.

Cordially,

J Daily

1032



March 1, 2021

Delivered electronically to "millsaustin@wavecable.com"

Subject: Complaint regarding Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools, PDC Case 82098

Dear Gerry Austin:

The Public Disclosure Commission (PDC) has completed its review of the complaint you filed on December 13, 2020. Your complaint alleged Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools, a group created by Dave Kimball, may have violated RCW 42.17A.205, .235, and .240 by failing to timely and accurately register and report as a political committee after establishing themselves as the Committee Against the February 2021 SKSD Levy and making expenditures to oppose the levy through the placement of signs. The complaint alleged Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools failed to file a Committee Registration, Monetary Contribution reports (C-3 reports) and Summary Full Campaign Contribution and Expenditure reports (C-4 reports), disclosing contributions and expenditures undertaken by the Campaign.

PDC staff reviewed your allegations; the applicable statutes, rules, and reporting requirements; a response submitted by Dave Kimball; and spoke with Mr. Kimball to determine whether the record supports a finding of one or more violations.

Based on staff's review, we found the following:

- Jim Bryant called himself Committee Against South Kitsap School District 2021 Levy in order to submit the opposition statement in the Kitsap County Voters Pamphlet. Mr. Bryant stated he did not raise or spend any funds concerning the February 9 ballot measure, but acknowledged using Dave Kimball's website at no charge to express his opposition to the levy.
- Mr. Kimball said when he realized Mr. Bryant did not have his own website, he offered use of his website to Mr. Bryant at no cost for two months. Mr. Bryant identified Committee Against South Kitsap School District 2021 Levy as being responsible for the content he posted on the website. Mr. Kimball and Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools stopped using the website during the two months Mr. Bryant posted information about the February 9 election.
- Mr. Kimball said the only expenditures he has incurred have been the annual costs to maintain the website. On December 13, 2020, Mr. Kimball paid \$151.87 to One.com for hosting services and

1033

renewal of the domain name for the upcoming year. The value of using the website for two months was approximately \$25. Mr. Kimball said Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools has never solicited or accepted any funds.

- Mr. Kimball said Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools did not authorize the purchase or placement of any signs opposing the school district's 2021 levy.
- Mr. Kimball confirmed Jeff Daily, a South Kitsap School District Director, has been involved with Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools since it was established, and has publicly stated his opposition to the South Kitsap School District 2021 Levy.

Based on these findings, staff has determined, no evidence was found supporting the alleged violation that Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools was a political committee required to register and report its activities with the Public Disclosure Commission.

Based on this information, the PDC finds that no further action is warranted and has dismissed this matter in accordance with RCW 42.17A.755(1).

If you have questions, you may contact Phil Stutzman at 360-664-8853, toll-free at 1-877-601-2828, or by e-mail at [pdc@pdc.wa.gov](mailto:pdc@pdc.wa.gov).

Sincerely,  
*/s Electronically Signed Philip E. Stutzman*  
Phil Stutzman  
Compliance Officer

Endorsed by,  
*/s Electronically Signed Barbara Sandahl*  
Barbara Sandahl  
Deputy Director  
For Peter Lavallee  
Executive Director

cc: Dave Kimball, Citizens Supporting South Kitsap Schools

JU34

**From:** Dr. Jeff Wilson jeff@psygenx.com  
**Subject:** Re: SB 6204  
**Date:** January 23, 2024 at 3:31 PM  
**To:** jndkimble@wavecable.com  
**Cc:** Tim Winter winter@skschools.org

Mr. Kimble,

Stop sending me your veiled threats and twisted memes. Do not contact further by any means...I'm tired of your nonstop harassment and slander via SKSD email, personal email, and CSSKS web-site(s). Remove all mis-information and personal information about me, my wife, and my children from your slanderous CSSKS web-site(s) immediately.

You are doxing me and my family and inviting violence to be inflicted upon me and my family. My next step will be to seek a protective order from the Kitsap County Court...something I know you are intimately familiar with. You are demonstrating the same kinds of stalking and harassment behaviors that got your last no-contact order from your neighbors in Manchester. Do not continue to escalate your assault on my family or I will involve the courts.

Please cease and desist all personal and electronic contact with me and my family and stay 100 yards away from all of us forever. I don't want to see you or any of your firearms in my vicinity ever again. Your threats must stop today and stop forever.

Sincerely,

Jefé.....

Jeffrey D. Wilson, PhD  
360.550.2288

P.S. Please seek some professional help before you physically harm a member of our Port Orchard community.

On Jan 23, 2024, at 12:56 PM, Dave Kimble <jndkimble@wavecable.com> wrote:

**Jeff,**  
**Don't ever say I didn't support our school board. That is what CSSKS is all about, trying to keep the board from running with pencils.!!**

**Dave**



Virus-free [www.avg.com](http://www.avg.com)

<Vote Yes.bmp>



Wilson Dains His job

JD35



## PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm. 206, PO Box 40908 • Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 • (360) 753-1111 • FAX (360) 753-1112  
Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 • E-mail: [pdc@pdc.wa.gov](mailto:pdc@pdc.wa.gov) • Website: [www.pdc.wa.gov](http://www.pdc.wa.gov)

Dear David A. Kimble:

The Public Disclosure Commission (PDC) has completed its review of the complaint you filed on June 13, 2023. The complaint alleged that Jeffery Wilson (the "Respondent"), a School Director for South Kitsap SD 402 and Chair of the South Kitsap School District (SKSD) Board meetings, may have violated RCW 42.17A.555 by providing information at SKSD Board meetings concerning the adoption of a resolution which would place a proposed ballot measure for a school bond on the ballot and not allowing adequate time for opposing viewpoints during open public meetings prior to the resolution being adopted.

PDC staff reviewed the allegation(s), the statutes, rules and PDC Interpretation #01-03 "Guidelines for School Districts in Election Campaigns", the SKSD May 17 and June 7, 2023 agendas, meeting minutes and meeting videos and found the following:

- RCW 42.17A.555 states, "No elective official nor any employee of his or her office nor any person appointed to or employed by any public office or agency may use or authorize the use of any of the facilities of a public office or agency, directly or indirectly, for the purpose of assisting a campaign for election of any person to any office or for the promotion of or opposition to any ballot proposition."
- PDC Interpretation #01-03 states that School Districts are "authorized by statute to prepare and distribute information to the general public to explain the instructional program, operation and maintenance of the schools of the district", including information about the impact of future ballot propositions. RCW 28A.320.090 authorizes the board of directors of any school district to expend funds for this purpose.
- For your information, when the SKSD School District Directors were presenting information and their comments concerning the adoption of the resolution to place the bond measure on the ballot, the board was acting as a Legislative body in their

2036

“official capacity or duties.” The SKSD School Board, when discussing and commenting on the proposed resolution at the May 17, 2023, SKSD meeting and voting on the resolution to create the proposed ballot measure at the June 7, 2023, open public meetings, the SKSD board members, including Chair Wilson was acting as a Legislative body.

- Since the SKSD School Directors were acting as a “Legislative body” and not engaged in a campaign as described in RCW 42.17A.555 while carrying out their official duties of adopting a resolution for a future ballot measure, the school Directors were free to voice their support or opposition to the formal resolution creating the proposed ballot measure as part of that process.
- According to the SKSD minutes of the May 17th school board meeting, there was a bond presentation made by Superintendent Winter summarizing “the work done to date, hear from the community, and develop a bond to rebuild and improve SK schools. The minutes indicated the presentation was posted in the Board Meeting agenda and was followed by public comments about that bond from community members Holly Cruz, Chrissy Wiley, Martha Hammann, Lee Fenton, John Richardson, David May, Astrea Steen, and Tiffany Wilhelm.
- The minutes indicated that the SKSD Board discussed the bond proposal at the May 17th meeting, will plan to present the resolution for a vote at the next meeting, and that after the presentation several community members addressed the Board during the public comment section including yourself, Chrissy Wiley, Martha Hammann, Frances Doyle, Marcie Decker, David May, John Richardson, Robert Parker, Amanda O’Dell, Heather Wilson, and Tasha Raub.
- According to the SKSD minutes of the June 7th school board meeting, prior to the SKSD adopting the resolution for the future bond measure, the district’s bond counsel, Tanya Lawless, an attorney with Kutak Rock LLP reviewed the bond resolution with the Board members that also included Trevor Carlson, with Piper Sandler was also present to address questions about the proposed bond. After that had occurred, Superintendent Winter conducted a roll call vote in which the resolution was adopted on a 4-1 vote.

JD37

- Finally, the Washington State courts have consistently found concerning local and statewide ballot propositions, that any statements made by individuals, special interest groups, elected or public officials, or stakeholders or citizens about the ramifications or impacts of proposed ballot measures are considered to be a “matter of opinion” and not facts, since it is not clear how the ballot proposition would be implemented or enacted once approved.

Based on this information, the PDC finds that no further action is warranted and has dismissed this matter in accordance with RCW 42.17A.755(1) and WAC 390-37-060(1)(a).

Sincerely,  
PDC Staff

JD38

December 12, 2023

**Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office**  
**614 Division St. MS - 35**  
**Port Orchard, WA 98366**  
**Attn: Mr. Chad Enright**

Mr. Enright,

This is a documented complaint alleging fraud of voters and asking for a formal investigation and the pressing of possible charges against the following individuals: Mr. Jeffrey Wilson, South Kitsap School Board, Mr. Brian Pickard, South Kitsap School Board, Ms. Kate Espy, South Kitsap School Board, Mr. Timothy Winter, South Kitsap School District Superintendent, Mr. Lee Fenton, South Kitsap School District consultant/planner, and Mrs. Amy Miller, South Kitsap School District Communications Officer.

This complaint involves actions taken by the South Kitsap School Board and the district regarding the most recent school bond that was on the voter ballot on November 7, 2023.

The alleged complaint involves voter fraud, misrepresentations of bond information, malfeasance, and misfeasance, untruthful comments meant to sway voters, lack of moral turpitude, collusion, and lack of good moral character, deceit, and fraud upon South Kitsap District voters. We allege this involves criminal misrepresentations and intent.

This is important because, had the bond measure passed, this would have implications for voters who thought that what they were approving was actually feasible and going to happen. Each project is fraught with issues, that perhaps solvable, would have huge cost and permit implications endangering the completion of each project.

**Complaint Background.**

The school board and the district initiated a \$271M bond issue to build/rebuild 5 schools and make repairs to others. The district says this was a 2-year process with community involvement and was fully vetted before going to the voters. This has the normal political issues in our country-embellishing small truths as if they were facts while dismissing the critical issues. While it happens, it isn't right, isn't legal, and doesn't reflect the morals of the entire country.

The most critical issue is that the feasibility of the plan is in question. Below are recordings that various board members and district personnel are saying publically that they have done all the "due diligence" required so that the plan can effectively be built. We have documentation that this is not true. The DCD County Planners (to include Mr. Diener) as well as City of Port Orchard DCD City Planners (to include Mr. Bond) say they were not approached at any time by the school district. In addition, we have a PRR sent to both the city and county that attests that no emails, telephone conversations, meetings, etc., occurred between the district, board, and themselves. Both the city and

JD39

county offer this service for a small fee but no record exists that the district or board asked for any assistance in the planning of the bond measure.

The school district has not built a school in over 30 years so the number of changes to the laws, building codes, and environmental requirements has easily increased 10 fold. In addition, many of the agencies who would be involved had the bond passed were also not contacted.

For example, the rebuilding of the Olalla Elementary school involves both wetlands on the current location, a septic system issue, a fire suppression system issue, and a salmon stream issue. This is not to say that the school cannot someday be built, but the cost and compliance with all the new regulations might be prohibitive for many years. Each of the other proposed projects has similar issues that require more research than just an "ok- let's build it" type mentality.

One board member openly questioned the others at public board meetings as to what was actually done regarding feasibility and was repeatedly told that all issues were resolved. This board member was on the district long range planning committee and knows that this was not done.

### **Background Information.**

The state has the Public Disclosure Commission (PDC), the Secretary of State, the State Auditor's Office, the Attorney General's office, Labor and Industries, and other multi-million dollar entities that are tasked to look after voters and consumers. The District Attorney's office and the County Prosecutor are also a part of this.

The PDC states that the school board and the district may provide information to the public about upcoming bond/levy measures. However, they may not promote the issues to the public. Yet, we have Mr. Wilson and Ms. Miller at the Port Orchard Farmer's market at a booth saying they support the bond and voters should too. Because the 3 video recordings we have from citizens are not admissible in court, we can only say what people told us. Mr. Winter and Ms. Miller were recorded twice during his "secret" tour of local businesses by citizens saying similar things. We will not argue this point, as we don't have admissible evidence. However, we do have one individual who is willing to provide a written declaration as to the factual truth to what we say regarding all of Mr. Winter's comments. Others are afraid to say due to retaliation fears by the district of their kids in the district.

The board and district will argue that this is all just a case of countering "misinformation" about the bond measure. In fact, they will show you that they have many comments from people on their website to substantiate this. However, you will notice a lack of any "opposition" statements as Ms. Miller takes any negative comments about the district off of the district website as soon as she finds them. So, you will never find any negative comments about the board and district on the district website. We find this interesting as the district proclaims that they are truthful and transparent in their dealings with the public. You will need to request those records. We cannot get them as they have individual names on the comments and the district hides behind a variety of individual privacy disclosures to hide what they take down from various individuals. But, somehow, they allow the names of those with positive comments to remain posted.

JD40

The issue of “misinformation” is the fault of the board and the district. They lied about the feasibility of the projects. It would have been simple to say that “no, we didn’t check on the feasibility of the projects but we think they could possibly be built. We ask you to trust us”. But, they didn’t come clean, and instead spent an inordinate amount of taxpayer money to hide the truth. They also lied about the implications of the resolution supporting the bond. That resolution states that if a project cannot be built for some reason, three board members have the power to divert the project funds to any other project they desire. This bypasses the will of the voter.

If a project is properly vetted, feasible, planned, disclosed, and explained to the public, then there is no reason a project cannot be completed as advertised. Yes, some minor changes may be necessary due to actual unforeseen issues but those are rare in properly planned projects. But, they denied that this is what the resolution really says. It is very clear and a matter of public record that 3 board members can change the plan at any time. One citizen commented that what this bond really is a slush fund for the district (video clip # 6).

The school district also did not correctly vet the proposed construction of the second HS out in McCormick Woods in 2018 which would have encountered the same issues due to the many changes in codes and environmental laws had it passed. The district has an extensive file on the 2018 proposed construction in McCormick Woods that even mentions permit issues back then, which were disregarded by the board and district at that time.

The district also recently renovated the South Kitsap Community Pool. Voters were told the cost was \$5.4M and the final cost is now approximately \$12.9M. This itself attests to the supposed “due diligence” done by the board and school district as telling the voters one thing to get their money and then delivering a project grossly over budget. Since it was built using capital projects funds, what didn’t get built that the voter was told would get built? The superintendent maintains that the project was poorly conceived by a previous board and he decided to make the changes to improve the pool. Interestingly enough, someone had to approve all of those changes but there are no written authorizations to show that this was done. And, is it not the people who should decide what happens to their tax money given such a gross error?

Also, OSPI was told that the pool building had designated classrooms in order to get \$2.3M+ of COVID relief funds. The building has no classrooms and the OSPI representatives, upon hearing that there were no classrooms, said, “I knew I should have not approved this request”. So again, not disclosing the proper facts to OSPI for construction funds as well as the public for taxpayer funds shows the intent of the district to not only lie, but to distort facts to get funding from various entities for improvements in the name of “the kids”. The district says the money was for the roof improvements which they say is allowed but this differs from OSPI’s account of the conversation.

**Objective Evidence.**

Below is the video evidence we have gathered to support our case. While there are more, these are the 20 most important clips that demonstrate what the board and district did to mislead voters. An interesting clip regarding the board members is the one (# 1) of Mr. Wilson where he emphatically says that all the work has been done and they are all vetted and ready to go. The other

JDWI

clips of detailed interest regarding the board and the district will be in the clips of Mr. Fenton where he openly admits that the cost of any feasibility work would have been too expensive (disputed by realtors, county/city fees for assistance, etc.) for the district to do. Mr. Winter admits he knew that the board and district had not done any feasibility studies. Yet, the board, led by Mr. Wilson, and the district, led by Superintendent Winter, continued to state in public meetings that this work had been done and all was "good to go". Board members Espy, Berg, and Pickard, who were all knowledgeable of the plan, confirmed the same thing at board and other meetings to the public. In other words, instead of standing up for what is right, as is in their oath of office, they merely went along with what they knew was wrong. They are as guilty as those who professed this was fully feasible.

Proven false

### Video Evidence #1

#### **1) Jeffery Wilson Utters Feasibility Misrepresentations SKSD Board Meeting of 05-17-23**

<https://youtu.be/YZJfCVbZol0>

Video clip #1 is SKSD Board Chairman Wilson responding to SKSD Board Member Daily's question about has the board done its due diligence and is the plan feasible. Mr. Daily was on the planning committee so he knows this has not been done. Mr. Wilson says that they hired professionals. He says they talked to state and local officials. There is no evidence to support these statements. Public requests of the district for this information showed that there were no documents (warrants, meeting minutes, etc.) to substantiate this was ever done.

There were no feasibility statements done. Mr. Fenton, who was the head of the district's long range planning committee and later a paid consultant, will state in videos #7 and #8 that none of this was done because it was too expensive. Mr. Wilson, as board chairman, was in contact with Mr. Fenton and Mr. Winter on this every step of the way. He knows what he is saying to the public is a lie and so does Mr. Daily, who has repeatedly questioned him at several prior meetings regarding it.

Mr. Wilson also states that the public was consulted and is in concurrence with the plan. There were a series of public events for the public at various schools. Only about 10 people showed up for perhaps 5 events and only one or two of them at each meeting were not district employees. To say that this plan has extensive community involvement and is backed by the community is false. The district did have a survey open to the public. However, almost all comments received were by district employees or the few highly involved parents that are generally members of PTSO's, sports team boosters, etc. This is not reflective of the typical voter in South Kitsap.

It is wrong to say that these statements by Mr. Wilson can be misinterpreted, called unclear, or not understood by any person listening to the comments by Mr. Wilson. It is very hard to believe that when this is shown to others that they can call this "misinformation".

### Video Evidence #2

#### **2) Jeff Daily PO City Council SKSD Bond Presentation 09-26-23**

JD42

[https://youtu.be/ma\\_OpoubxDc](https://youtu.be/ma_OpoubxDc)

Video clip #2 is a presentation to the Port Orchard City Council in opposition to the SK Bond request by Citizen Jeff Daily. While Mr. Daily is a board member, he is acting as the chairperson of a registered political committee and is therefore allowed to disagree with the board due to his status of being the chair of a bond opposition group. In this discussion, which preceded the districts presentation, he clearly states that we know that the district has not been in contact with city, county, and state planners/agencies. It also addresses the issues of the bond resolution which were incorrectly stated to the public by the board.

### **Video Evidence #3**

#### **3) Dave Kimble 1st Comments PO City Council SKSD Bond 09-26-23**

<https://youtu.be/UVfPwtH0bnw>

Video clip #3 is of a Manchester citizen, Mr. Dave Kimble, speaking to the city council, who brings up issues with the bond and other issues the district has had in the past. One was where a science project renovation went \$555K over budget and then he mentions the pool as previously mentioned being grossly over budget. These reflect the district cannot manage taxpayer money effectively. In addition, he talks about the use of impact fees to fund the purchase of the McCormick Woods property that the public probably has no idea about since the purpose of impact fees is to improve infrastructure at the schools due to increased enrollment versus buying property.

### **Video Evidence #4**

#### **4) Dave Kimble 2nd Comments PO City Council SKSD Bond 09-26-23**

[https://youtu.be/OL2DkEHZ\\_mY](https://youtu.be/OL2DkEHZ_mY)

Video clip #4 is Mr. Kimble's comments after the bond presentation by Mr. Winter and Ms. Amy Miller at the city council meeting referenced above. Mr. Kimble states that it is he who did the public records requests of the district and the county. He received no documents to substantiate anything that Mr. Wilson or the district said had been done. He also mentions alternatives and alternative funding that were never considered; yet the board and district state that public input was used in the determination of the bond. Finally, he mentions that the public is probably not aware that the board used non-voter approved debt to fund a maintenance project for the HS. Does this sound like the work of a fiscally responsible organization when the public has no idea it is using this legal funding mechanism to pay bills?

### **Video Evidence #5**

#### **5) Rhonda Edward's PO City Council SKSD Bond Comments 09-26-23**

<https://youtu.be/DSusp09jsyU>



J D43

Video clip #5 is Ms. Rhonda Edwards commenting on the bond. She is a lifelong resident, a 33-year realtor and has extensive experience in property management in SK. She mentions that the real cost of the bond will be \$465M versus \$271M the district says, that the Olalla property cannot be expanded and developed due to septic, salmon, wetlands, and other issues. She states that the board is lying and telling folks that it can be without any proof. She states that the county would help the district for \$450 to figure this out but no one even approached them. She cites her research into the feasibility of the bond shows that it is just lies to get money from taxpayers. Finally, she also cites that 3 board members can decide what to do with \$48M if Olalla Elementary cannot be built versus what the taxpayer was told would happen to their money.

### **Video Evidence #6**

#### **6) Mike Gustafson PO City Council Comments SKSD Bond 09-26-23**

<https://youtu.be/M2C44XlmPbk>

Video clip #6 is Mr. Mike Gustafson, a Southworth resident, who states he was a Kitsap County Planning Official for 10 years. He said he is well aware of the environmental and code issues facing the proposed bond measure. He feels it is a huge slush fund for the board and the district as the feasibility of the project is seriously in question.

At this point, you have heard from SK residents who all agree that there is a problem with what the district is saying and proposing. If the board and district have community input and buy-in, why are these people willing to address the city council regarding the proposed plan. Each one of these people have some expertise in what they say. Yet, the board and district continue to say otherwise. Is it not reasonable to assume that if the board and district was hearing from these people and their comments, they would embrace versus challenge their statements and make adjustments?

### **Video Evidence #7**

#### **7) Lee Fenton 1st Comments Chamber of Commerce Claims of Due Diligence 10-10-23**

[https://youtu.be/MxBYRq7\\_PDA](https://youtu.be/MxBYRq7_PDA)

Video clip #7 is Mr. Lee Fenton, making comments in regards to questions at a forum hosted by the Chamber of Commerce at Whiskey Gulch. Mr. Fenton was the chairman of the SK Capital Projects committee for 2 years until it was dissolved and then became a paid consultant to the district regarding the bond. He worked as a school architect for BLRB until his retirement.

Mr. Fenton clearly states that the real work, including feasibility work, is done after you have the money for the project. This is counter to almost any project development that is done anywhere. The cost is known, feasibility is resolved, other costs are considered, and THEN, you ask for the money. He states that the project costs are heavily overestimated to cover issues that may occur, when in reality, doing work upfront eliminates some of the need for padding the estimates. He also addresses the HS project as renovation. This project was to be done inside the current HS. Yet, Labor and Industries was never consulted to see what the regulations are concerning an active

JD44

construction/demolition site within a school with students present. Therefore, he admits that no feasibility has been done thus far as it is part of the process after the funds are received. Yet, the board and superintendent say exactly the opposite to the taxpayer. The Bremerton School District is doing this differently. They are working in concert with engineering firms to develop their capital projects bond proposal. If there is only one way to do things, as mentioned by Mr. Fenton, then why are they doing it another way and apparently finding the money to do so?

### **Video Evidence #8**

#### **8) Lee Fenton 2nd Comments Chamber of Commerce Rhonda Edward's Questions 10-10-23** <https://youtu.be/ZGzslCRtvrM>

Video clip #8 is Mr. Fenton responding to a question by Rhonda Edwards at the Whiskey Gulch forum. Ms. Edwards states that for \$400 the district could have a good idea from the county where the projects feasibility would stand. Mr. Fenton then talks about all the high-level studies that have been done and all is fine. Then Mr. Kimble asks were the studies are if they were done. Mr. Fenton then says that the cost for the feasibility details folks are asking about would be prohibitively expensive. So, on one hand Mr. Fenton says the studies have been done and on the other hand he says they are prohibitively expensive. The bottom line is that there is no evidence that the studies were ever done as Mr. Kimble made PRR's for the documents and the district says they don't exist. This is a lie.

Mr. Fenton then tries to make the "feasibility" issue one of "degree". No reasonable taxpayer would expect to have something done on their property without having some type of an estimate and if it was feasible. Trying to make the argument that feasibility has different degrees of feasibility is just wrong. It is either feasible or it is not. The average taxpayer is being led to think that the projects can be built when, in reality, they admit they haven't done the feasibility work.

There is more discussion where Mr. Wilson states an opinion regarding Olalla. This is tainted as his wife works there and would benefit from a new school. Also, one person asks why we need a \$48M school for 270 kids which is 10 classrooms of 27 kids. Again, where is the community buy in that the board and district say was crucial to the development of the plan and is approved by the community? This is the misinformation that the board and district accuse others of being a part of. They didn't get any community buy in and there was no community support as they say there is.

### **Video Evidence #9**

#### **9) Gena Cole Comments SKSD Issues SKSD Board Meeting 11-14-23** <https://youtu.be/FVEov5vYkAM>

Video clip #9 is from Ms. Gena Cole who states that the district and Mr. Winter continue to say that due diligence has been done regarding feasibility, transparency, and community input. Ms. Cole has asked for but never received any engineering or environmental information regarding what the board and district says have been done. She flags Mr. Winter's honesty as to why the bond measure

JD45

did not pass. As stated before, everyone just sits there but we know that Mr. Winter and Mr. Wilson, along with Mr. Berg, Mr. Pickard, and Ms. Espy know that there have been no feasibility studies done.

### Video Evidence #10

#### **10) Holly Cruz Comments SKSD Issues SKSD Board Meeting 11-14-23**

<https://youtu.be/ES4OEVN0bDE>

Video clip #10 is from Ms. Holly Cruz who states that discusses several issues but one is the fact that Mr. Wilson has erroneous information about himself on his web page regarding his employment and also refers to himself as "Jefe" which is commonly known as how cartel bosses refer to themselves. This sets the tone for how some of the public perceive Mr. Wilson. She discusses Mr. Winter and the lack of transparency in the previous levy for calling a host of social workers as part of the function of educating the students in the district which is primary job.

### Video Evidence #11

#### **11) Superintendent Tim Winter on Bond Failure - Truth, Falsities, and Distortions 11-14-23**

<https://youtu.be/YmBqkcM0ers>

Video clip #11 is Mr. Winter stating that the district always deals in honesty and integrity. Yet, he was aware and admitted that he knew that the feasibility studies were never done. He, Mr. Wilson, and Mr. Fenton were together on every step of the process. Mr. Winter was the person who brought in the district lawyers for the resolution and he brought in his friend for the financial bond briefing. There is no misunderstanding that he knew what was in the resolution and what the actual costs of the bond were as well as the rest of the board. How can you lie like that to the public, except to protect your own ego and job? His comment about misinformation, information spins, and misinterpretations are all a cover for honesty issues with the district and the board. As was discussed earlier; it is obvious that the information issue was with the board and the district, not the public. The board and district have a mistrust have a trust issue of their own making.

### Video Evidence #12

#### **12) Superintendent Tim Winter & SKSD Board Chairman Jeffery Wilson's Denials of Fund(s) Mismanagement SKSD Board Meeting 11-14-23**

<https://youtu.be/0wyyDbaxpUQ>

Video clip #12 is a "staged tag team" event between Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter where Mr. Wilson asks leading questions to the superintendent so that both can effectively divert attention from mismanagement of funds to, "well that was part of the plan." It is meant to diffuse comments made earlier by concerned citizens during the public comment period. It is interesting that this

JD46

conversation is coming out now to rationalize what the public had been saying for over a year. Mr. Winter's statements about the ESSER (COVID) money for the pool may be true but that is not the issue that was given to OSPI. It was about classrooms versus roofs and HVAC systems. The rationale was changed to fit the discovery of what was allowable and what was not.

Nowhere was the public ever informed about the pool changes, despite several board meetings where rationale for the cost overrun was asked about. This is just rationalization to blame the project on the last board. The last board did not perform due diligence regarding the pool estimate. But, for Mr. Winter to arbitrarily commit the districts capital projects funds to cover a \$7M cost overrun is an abuse of power and not what the voters were told.

He also said all of the projects were completed in spite of the massive pool cost overrun. If the capital projects bond was approximately \$26M, a \$7M reduction to fund the pool overrun is a 27% reduction in funds. If the district was able to build all of the projects as briefed to the public as he stated, then this is also malfeasance as the estimates for the projects were grossly overstated and the public essentially funded a \$7M slush fund for the district to do as they pleased. Please note that all of these exchanges are between Mr. Wilson, board chairman, and Mr. Winter. The rest of the board members have no comments, which is disturbing as they all apparently think alike.

Mr. Wilson has been board chairman since he came to the board two years ago. For him to suddenly begin to deny that he is unaware of things that happened in the past is untrue. Many of the issues he "discussed" with Mr. Winter have been the subject of numerous previous board meetings in which Mr. Wilson seemed to have had a remarkable understanding of them and usually dismissed the other board member who brought them up as "confused". Yet, now Mr. Wilson seems to be playing to the public his misunderstanding of the funding issues in the district. Conveniently left out of these discussions was the multitude of projects that came in over budget to include the science rooms, the roofs on three schools, and the tracks currently being built that are both over budget. Yet, somehow, the district is finding money to complete them.

### **Video Evidence #13**

#### **13) Superintendent Tim Winter Presents E P&O Levy Info & Resolution Claims of Transparency, Solvency, Honesty of District 11-14-23**

<https://youtu.be/YLUe-Pzwx-s>

Video clip #13 is a discussion about the levy that the voters passed three years ago to help fund the district. Mr. Winter called the approximately \$5M deficit a "roll back" to taxpayers as if this is a good thing for taxpayers. This is a result of enrollment decreases, not taxpayer action. Had the taxpayer known this fact, they would not have approved the amount of the levy. The district is trying to portray this action as benevolence from the district. It is not, untrue, and misleading.

In addition, the subject of the district being bankrupt comes up. The SKSD is a taxing authority; therefore, it will not ever run out of money as it can always raise taxes, as desired to fund its operations. The issue is that mismanagement by the board and district has allowed the reserves to decrease to the absolute minimum. The other factor is that the board and district have over 200

2047

additional employees above what OSPI thinks they need that is funded strictly by local taxpayers; which we are sure they do not know about. The levy in 2019 was for 'learning' and to fund student activities. Yet, Mr. Winter said it funded nurses, custodians, and other personnel things. None of these have anything to do with learning as Ms. Cruz discussed in video # 10 above. Eight percent pay raises and increased employee perks to district employees has helped bring funds down to the minimum level. As these are must pay items, money is diverted from student needs by cancelling programs, delaying the science curriculum, delaying routine maintenance, and reductions to student needs.

This is not what voters were told when they voted for the levy. In fact, over 65% of the levy goes to fund personnel; not student programs as is advertised to the public. This level of reserves and excessive personnel costs will become a factor as the district will have to pass a credit check should a bond measure ever pass. The district solution will be to ask for more money versus make budget cuts.

Again, these are just Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter tag teaming to diffuse comments made during the public comment period versus actually researching what they are saying and getting back to the public with verifiable numbers. Mr. Winter's comments about "watching the budget" do not agree with how a budget is executed. This is just rationalization of how we can move money to avert issues versus stick to what we said in the budget and tell the public why we are not doing as we said we would when the budget was approved and passed. The phrase "winging it" comes to mind and is not professional money management of public funds.

Mr. Winter mentioned that the district had a "clean" audit. The district had two items noted in the SAO's report. Whether or not they are significant is a matter for financial people to determine. Mr. Winter is not qualified to speak on audits so his comment regarding a "clean" audit is misleading and one of many pieces of misinformation that come from the district on a regular basis.

#### **Video Evidence #14**

#### **14) SKSD Board Chair Jeffery Wilson's Utterances of Funding Being Complicated with So Many Different Buckets of Money SKSD Board Meeting 11-14-23**

<https://youtu.be/mPAYCjGu7ew>

Video clip #14 is Mr. Wilson suddenly trying to tell people how complicated funding is to the "average" person. Interestingly enough, Mr. Wilson, on numerous occasions has "told" everyone how well he understands the district funding even though he has no educational experience or even looks at the districts funding documents. The district has a very highly paid business office of 10 employees who are employed to know where every dollar of public money is and where it is spent. Now, he seems to forget about how he was telling members of the public at open houses, the Port Orchard Farmers Market, and at Whiskey Gulch about "complex" funding issues. This is just a ruse for him to back pedal and allow Mr. Winter to say that we are doing fine. Again, a staged event due to comments made earlier from concerned citizens asking questions but being dismissed by Mr. Winter and Mr. Wilson.

JDH

Mr. Wilson, as board chairman meets with Mr. Winter on an almost daily basis. It is inconceivable that he is not aware of all issues in the district and his portrayal of "Mr. Winter, what do you think about this" is just to allow the district and Mr. Winter to defend anything they do as "for the kids". This type of banter does nothing to instill confidence in the board or district and shows that transparency is just staged for the public at board meetings.

### **Video Evidence #15**

(15) Discussion of Pre-Assessments of Schools before Next Bond SKSD Board Mtg. 12-06-23  
[https://youtu.be/MYahqe\\_sXGI](https://youtu.be/MYahqe_sXGI)

This is a discussion among the new board members on what to do. All of a sudden the tone changes and we are caught in our own lies. Mr. Wilson asks about more money to "do more assessments" and he is trying to cover his comments regarding whether they were done or not. If you need more money, then why wasn't it done right the first time? The answer is because it was never done. Yet earlier (recording #1), Mr. Wilson said it was all done and "perfect".

Mr. Winter then talks about how other districts did their bond preparation. Mr. Fenton, the primary district consultant says he was in the business for 34 years and this is how it is done. Yet, we now find that other districts hired engineering consultants to work with them along the way. So, which is it? Where did they find the money if it is so prohibitively expensive to do. If other districts have done it a different way, then why was their preparation "ok" if the district expert says there was only one way to do it.

All of a sudden, Mr. Winter is now mentioning that other funding options are available; yet previously, the only way to fund buildings was with bonds. Now the story is we have options. What is the real story since the public was told "this is the only way". Obviously, we are now changing our tune. Sadly, this was all mentioned to them by a former board member and some concerned citizens but ignored as "they didn't know anything". As they talk, it becomes clearer that the public was not aware of any of these options during the bond presentations.

### **Video Evidence #16**

(16) Megan Higgins Wants Data on Conditions of All School SKSD Board Mtg. 12-06-23  
<https://youtu.be/XzhZq7sqtJ4>

Ms. Higgins is a brand new board member who ran on a platform of supporting the bond. Yet she says she didn't know much about it as a candidate for office. If she didn't know much, the public certainly didn't know much either. Then she wants to see proof of the schools' conditions. Yet, Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter were previously adamant with the South Kitsap School Supporters PAC and the long range planning committee that the conditions and priorities are as they say. What does this say about the community understanding of the bond that Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter said that the plan was "thoroughly vetted through the community" and that "they (community) was fully on board? She mentions transparency being paramount yet Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter have talked at

JD49

the Port Orchard Farmers Market and Mr. Winter on his “secret” speaking tour telling people of a plan that is now questioned by a new board member.

### **Video Evidence #17**

(17) Jay Villars Fewer Students Now - Wilson Cedar Heights Students Get Less SKSD Board Mtg. 12-06-23

<https://youtu.be/NbgbJcAkd-k>

This is new board member Jay Villars who also ran on a platform of supporting the bond but critical of former board member Jeff Daily for saying the same things regarding that the other schools have higher enrollments yet Cedar Heights remains at the top of the list. Then Mr. Wilson changes his mind again and supports Cedar Heights now based on the educational experience versus the age and condition of the building. Mr. Wilson knows nothing of educational experiences and he was among the primary drivers of Cedar Heights being a high priority compared to others. Now, the story just begins to change with every comment made by Mr. Wilson. Exactly what is the real story here?

### **Video Evidence #18**

(18) Wilson Clams Cedar Heights Could Possibly Be Condemned In Future SKSD Board Mtg. 12-06-23

<https://youtu.be/kH1X1ERjgFE>

Mr. Wilson makes two erroneous claims. Cedar Heights was at the top of the list due to supposed building needs based on that criteria; not the educational experience for kids. That was never discussed by the committee or the board. The analysis was originally to be based on “facts” regarding building renovation/construction issues. The committee was not assembled and qualified to discuss the “educational experience for kids”. This is just new rhetoric to help him pass off the original claims he made regarding the needs of the building to justify the plan of the long range committee which he wholly supported. He said numerous times “we trust the committee” so this is all new justification based on criteria that was never part of the original discussions. The public certainly would not have heard this prior to this discussion.

Mr. Wilson then talks about a notice of inhabitability possible for Cedar Heights. A board member spoke with both of the Fire Marshalls long ago during the process. He was assured by the Fire Marshalls (one in Port Orchard Planning and one in Kitsap County DCD) that they were in close contact with the district, OSPI, and other agencies tasked with monitoring the condition of the buildings of the school district. They both indicated no potential problems with the buildings at that time and it would take a major structural issue (i.e. earthquake) to cause them to consider building inhabitability issues.

Mr. Wilson, again, is speaking about something he knows nothing about, not talked with the experts, and is just starting fear mongering among the new board members to influence their

JWSD

thinking and vote. No facts support any comments on what his is saying. Yet, he speaks and the public may now fear the Cedar Heights building integrity for no valid reasons. This is not transparency with the voters.

Mr. Winter is also aware of the discussions with the Fire Marshalls as he was told of the discussions long ago but said nothing to counter Mr. Wilson's fear mongering comments. This is not being truthful with the voters. This is inventing a new story to take to voters which, without facts again, will be a repeat of the last bond measure and not instill any confidence again with the voters as the same people talking are just inventing another story to fool the voters.

**Video Evidence #19**

(19) Wilson Cries Sky Is Falling On Our Older Schools SKSD Board Mtg. 12-06-23  
[https://youtu.be/2p8EI\\_Q-wa8](https://youtu.be/2p8EI_Q-wa8)

This is Mr. Wilson now talking about issues that never came up as he thought they were not important considerations at the time. Others, who broached these issues, were told they were not important as the capital projects committee had done all their work and they had the best plan. Once again, now he wants to say the sky is falling on our buildings. No district information confirms any of this and OSPI, the Fire Marshalls, and SKFR all follow the condition of the buildings. Now the excuse is the boiler might go out. Perhaps possible, but what has the district done with all the money it has received from the state to support building maintenance? Why did the district have to fund a \$2M chiller for the SKHS with non- voter approved debt? The answer is because the district has 200 extra employees and pay raises average 8%, well above what the average person in Port Orchard gets. That is why the district is unable to convince people of the needs.

Now, he says that the community doesn't know this information. What happened to his statements that this was vetted through the community, vetted through proper city and county offices/officials, had community blessing, they knew all the issues, and this is what the community wanted? It was all a bunch of lies as shown here. Now, he addresses the issues he told the community previously that they were non-issues. He implies renovation when he himself told others that this was not possible as the building were falling apart as we speak.

Many homes and buildings in Port Orchard are much older than some of the schools. He is trying to blame the community for the lack of progress on this issue. The real issue, for the last 30 years, is trust in the district and transparency. Why does the board not understand that people living in a 50 year old home don't get a new one because it's old and might need some work? They are perfectly habitable as are many older buildings downtown. Citizens think they have given the district what they can even if it isn't perfect. The use of "it's for the kids" is running empty with people as they don't agree. Isn't it what they want for the kids; not 3 board people who want it their way?

**Video Evidence #20**

(20) Winter Mentions Other Districts Closing Schools & Clearer Plans for Bond SKSD Board Mtg. 12-06-23



JD51

<https://youtu.be/SsSiTdgymI0>

This is another interesting group of comments from Mr. Winter. Again, now we seem to recognize that other options exist. Mr. Wilson continues to be fixated on the issue of buildings falling down while the issue discussed here is academic needs. Various community members mentioned closing schools or consolidating them. Others mentioned renovation versus rebuilding. Yet, none of this was considered but the message from Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter to the public was "we are doing what you told us you wanted done". This just continues to show that this was never properly vetted, the few items from the little public comment they received were disregarded by the board and district, and now, it suddenly seems important to consider them. If these were so important, why was the public not informed about them, asked for their thoughts, and included in the process as both Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter said they were?

#### **Additional Information.**

The three other board members, Mr. Pickard, Mr. Berg, and Ms. Espy are also accused of malfeasance. Each one of them knew that the information was not true but said nothing. Mr. Pickard is the board vice chair so he and Mr. Wilson chat often and it doubtful that he does not have the same level of understanding of the bond issues as Mr. Wilson. Mr. Pickard was in the district for 35 years, both as a principal and at the district office so he is very aware of how the district operates and probably advises Mr. Wilson along with Mr. Winter. Mr. Pickard wrote an article for the newspaper supporting the bond knowing that the information he was passing along was incorrect.

Mr. Berg ran an illegal website for over two years that went unchallenged by the board. It is the subject of an outside lawsuit. He was on the capital projects committee and knows first-hand that the feasibility was never done but refused to say anything in public and to the public.

Ms. Espy often commented at board meetings how she met her neighbors, discussed the bond issue with them, and applauded herself that she had convinced several to vote for the bond. This is in direct violation of PDC law. She also talks often to the other board members and Mr. Winter and knew that no feasibility studies were done. Yet, she too said nothing to the public and probably failed to mention that fact when talking to community members.

Ms. Miller is an employee of the district and the communications officer. Her involvement in this is due to her position and probably from direction from her supervisor, Mr. Winter. Nonetheless, when district information is changed, embellished, distorted, explanations omitted, and comments deleted from either public records, public recordings, or district websites, Ms. Miller is responsible for these items. Her constant comments about "misinformation" are a direct attempt to make the public believe that the lies come from outside the district, not from within as shown in numerous video clips. She was with Mr. Wilson when he was at the Port Orchard Farmers Market and with Mr. Winter on his "secret" trip to various businesses and organizations so she was perfectly aware of what was being passed on to the public as "the truth".

#### **Summary.**

In summary, we have provided ample evidence that members of the SK School Board and the district Superintendent mislead voters in the most recent SK bond initiative by telling them that project feasibility studies had been done but were not. We have Mr. Wilson outright misleading

JB5a

voters, Mr. Fenton, and Mr. Winter confirming that they were not done but well after all community hearings had been done, voter pamphlet statements were written, and board members were in the community along with the superintendent promoting the bond to voters who had no idea of the alleged voter fraud involved. Parts of this issue have been sent to the SAO, PDC, OSPI, and ESD 114 for their action in the parts applicable to them.

We have provided examples of citizens who made comments to the board and superintendent asking for information and verification to which none was provided; yet the board and superintendent continued to perpetuate the lies told to the public. There was no misinformation or misunderstandings by the public. They were deliberately misled to vote for a \$271M bond that had not been properly vetted nor was due diligence done by the district and the school board.

The new members on the board show that that even they, candidates, who should be somewhat knowledgeable about the bond since they supported it, knew little of it, and instead just went along with the board to get elected. Their comments show that what they heard and were told was not the truth. The most recent board meeting comments from Mr. Wilson and Mr. Winter show that their focus was not on the welfare of the students and their learning but on constructing buildings thinking that new buildings will fix the failure of the district to show academic progress in the district. Mr. Wilson's comments are not valid as other interested parties (OSPI, District facilities, SKFR, local Fire Marshalls) are not sending out the same message to alarm the public about the safety of the building. We have many buildings in Port Orchard that are much older and do not have inhabitability concerns. All of this is just covering up for the issues they have caused by lying to the public.

We are asking you to investigate and press charges against the board members and the superintendent Mr. Winter for alleged voter fraud by deliberately misleading the public to pass a school bond issue.

Cordially,

J Daily  
360-874-7517

JD53



## South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation

1960 SW Wildwood Rd  
Port Orchard, WA 98367  
skcdrt01@gmail.com  
360-874-7517

### Registration Filed

Pac  
Mini Reporting  
Submitted date: 07/30/2023  
Certified by jwpdaily@wavecable.com  
Continuing  
Originally targeting 2022  
TAXAS--528

### Bank Information

Kitsap Credit Union  
393 S Kitsap Way  
Port Orchard, WA 98367  
To schedule books review contact skcdrt01@gmail.com

### Officers

#### Jeff Daily

Treasurer  
1960 SW Wildwood Rd  
Port Orchard, WA 98367  
skcdrt01@gmail.com  
360-874-7517

JDS4



## South Kitsap Citizens Demanding Responsible Taxation

1960 SW Wildwood Rd  
Port Orchard, WA 98367  
skcdrt101@gmail.com  
360-874-7517

### Registration Filed

Pac  
Mini Reporting  
Submitted date: 08/08/2022  
Certified by jwpdaily@wavecable.com  
Continuing  
Originally targeting 2022  
TAXAS-528

---

### Bank Information

Kitsap Credit Union  
393 S Kitsap Way  
Port Orchard, WA 98367  
To schedule books review contact skcdrt101@gmail.com

---

### Officers

#### Jeff Daily

Treasurer  
1960 SW Wildwood Rd  
Port Orchard, WA 98367  
skcdrt101@gmail.com  
360-874-7517

---

JDS's

## Ballot Propositions

### Opposing #Unknown Ballot Number

South Kitsap Fire & Rescue  
Levy, Bond or Advisory Vote  
2022

## Attachments

Name	Description
------	-------------

J056

## Ballot Propositions

### Opposing #Unknown Ballot Number

South Kitsap Fire & Rescue  
Levy, Bond or Advisory Vote  
2023

### Opposing #Unknown

South Kitsap Sd 402  
Levy, Bond or Advisory Vote  
2023

## Attachments


Name	Description
------	-------------




On May 18<sup>th</sup> @ approximately 8:15pm Director Daily was spotted putting up "VOTE NO SKSD \$271 M BOND" and "Vote NO SKFR" signs by Walmart in Port Orchard while wearing a baseball hat as a disguise. At that same time, pairs of these two signs were spotted all over the South Kitsap community. Upon closer inspection of one of the BOND signs it confirms that Director Daily was also personally responsible for "DUMP THE TRASH JETTISON SKSD DIRECTORS WILSON BERG PICKARD AND EPSY [SIC]" signs put up circa February 24th as he reused some of the same posts for this round of attacks on our fair district. This confirms Daily responsible for both sets of signs.



Recycled sign post from Dump the Trash campaign redeployed for SKSD Bond.



Example of signs that appeared circa 2/24/2023 around South Kitsap.



SKSD Bond Sign (mid-May) near Walmart with redeployed sign post.

2087